

Literature Review

Artificial Intelligence Integration in Higher Education: Enhancing Academic Processes and Leadership Dynamics

Mboneza Kabanda ^{1,*} 

¹ Adventist International Institute of Advanced Studies, Philippines

* Correspondence: kabandam@aaias.edu

<https://doi.org/10.59652/jetm.v3i1.404>

Abstract: Higher Education is experiencing substantial transformations as Artificial Intelligence (AI) redefines academic and administrative operations. This paper examines AI's paradigm-shifting influence on Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), emphasizing its contribution to improving pedagogical processes and optimizing administrative efficacy. Using a structured methodology, this study's thematic analysis highlights key areas where AI is making an impact. This addresses the positive aspects of using AI in teaching practices and the learning process, its crucial role in the writing of academic papers, its effects on academic honesty, its implementation in administrative work, the responsibilities faced by education leaders in the AI landscape, and the link between AI and the digital divide in higher learning institutions. Further studies may focus on comparative research among diverse academic institutions in different regions, leadership strategies that facilitate the integration of AI in HEIs, and techniques to enhance AI literacy among teachers, staff, and students.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; higher education; academic integrity; educational leadership; digital divide

1. Introduction

In various industries, AI has brought about a significant technological revolution (Rashid & Kausik, 2024). It is propelled by its capacity to analyze complex datasets, augment human-computer interactions, and optimize decision-making methodologies (Xu et al., 2021). Integrating AI with technologies such as the Internet of Things, Big Data, and digitalization has led to widespread adoption in various domains, including education (Alam, 2021). In the world of AI, various domains like Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) have significantly impacted the development of pattern recognition, data understanding, and the advancement of intelligent systems that derive insights via practical engagement instead of explicit programming (Akila et al., 2022; Khalifa & Albadawy, 2024).

While AI's adoption is on the rise globally, there are variations in readiness and challenges faced by different industries and regions. For instance, a study on Nepalese industries revealed a readiness in technological sufficiency, management efficiency, and value creation potential for AI adoption but also highlighted challenges such as small market size and lack of skilled manpower (Devkota et al., 2022). In contrast, the pharmaceutical industry in the United States shows significant potential for AI and ML to enhance operations efficiency, product quality, and market penetration despite facing hurdles like a lack of strategy and management commitment (Pazhayattil & Konyu-Fogel, 2023). The increasing importance of AI is manifest across multiple domains and is characterized by its capacity to foster innovation, enhance operational efficiency, and facilitate value generation. Depending on the relevant organization and local circumstances, the scope of its effect and the barriers encountered can fluctuate notably. Adopting AI is not without its difficulties, but with adequate support and strategic implementation, its potential benefits are vast and can lead to substantial advancements in multiple domains.

Integrating AI in HEIs encompasses improvements in educational management, personalized learning, and administrative efficiency (Igbokwe, 2023). The introduction of AI applications, including smart tutoring systems, personalized education models, and automated assessment processes, has significantly elevated students' academic performance,

Received: January 5, 2025
Accepted: March 9, 2025
Published: March 17, 2025



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors.
Submitted for open access publication
under the terms and conditions of the
Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY)
license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

engagement, and scholarly success (Du Boulay, 2016; Owoc et al., 2021; Steele, 2023; Walter, 2024). Also, the role of AI in HEIs education is evolving with the advancement of technology, suggesting a trend toward more innovative learning environments (Xia, 2020).

AI offers significant benefits but presents challenges, including ethical considerations, implementation barriers, and faculty training (Khalifa & Albadawy, 2024; Vashishth, Sharma, Sharma, Kumar, et al., 2024). For international students, AI can provide tailored educational support, but it also raises concerns about privacy, cultural differences, and ethical implications (Wang, Lund et al., 2023). In Latin American HEIs, AI applications have been instrumental in addressing educational issues such as student retention, demonstrating the technology's global impact (Salas-Pilco et al., 2022). In the context of foreign language teaching, AI tools offer individualized learning experiences. However, it also risks oversimplifying the learning process (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022). Thus, educational technology benefits from AI through personalized and adaptive learning systems, automated scoring, and virtual tutors, although future challenges remain (Alam, 2023).

1.1. Historical Context of AI

The history of AI is marked by the interplay between human creativity and technological advancement, leading to today's sophisticated AI systems. The following are key historical developments of AI's trajectory:

1.1.1. Early Conceptual Foundations

The origins of ideas resembling AI can be traced back to ancient myths and philosophical debates concerning human cognition and the nature of intelligence (Sheikh et al., 2023). These early speculations laid an essential foundation for scientific inquiries into machine intelligence in later centuries. Philosophers and thinkers of ancient civilizations often discussed questions about the nature of thought and consciousness, indirectly shaping the intellectual landscape that would eventually influence AI development (Anurag, 2024; Cave & Dihal, 2023).

The 19th century was marked by profound progress in computational theory, particularly exemplified by the synergistic endeavors of Charles Babbage and Ada Lovelace concerning the Analytical Engine. The conceptualization of a programmable machine capable of performing intricate operations signified a crucial juncture in the historical development of computing. The ideas they proposed are considered foundational to modern computing and AI, as they anticipated the development of machines that could perform multifaceted operations, thus paving the way for future innovations (Grzybowski et al., 2024; Oliveira & Figueiredo, 2024).

1.1.2. The Birth of AI as a Scientific Discipline

The groundwork for understanding AI can largely be credited to the initial insights of Alan Turing in the early 1950s. He proposed that a system could be identified as 'intelligent' if it manifests the ability to imitate human tasks so effectively that the distinction between a machine and a human executing the same task becomes unnoticeable (Díaz & Nussbaum, 2024). In addition, the formal emergence of AI as a scientific field is often attributed to the Dartmouth Conference held in 1956. This landmark event not only introduced the term "Artificial Intelligence" but also marked the start of AI research as an independent academic discipline. The conference brought together pioneering thinkers who set the stage for decades of innovation in AI research and development (Anurag, 2024; Radanliev, 2024; Sheikh et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2021).

In the initial phases of its development, AI research primarily concentrated on symbolic AI, which prioritized logical inference and systems governed by established rules. These approaches achieved notable success in specific, well-defined domains, such as chess and theorem-proving. However, they struggled to handle the complexities of real-world problems, highlighting the limitations of early AI systems (Radanliev, 2024).

The transition from symbolic AI to ML represented a paradigm shift in AI research. The introduction of neural networks in conjunction with DL concepts has strikingly modified several domains, notably in computer vision and the Natural Language Processing (NLP). These advancements significantly improved AI's ability to handle unstructured data, making it more versatile and effective in real-world applications (Radanliev, 2024).

The future of higher education with AI integration appears to be geared toward creating more adaptive, responsive, and personalized learning experiences that can meet students' diverse needs. Also, leadership in HEIs encounters an array of complexities while navigating technological transformative changes, necessitating the development of new competencies and methodologies to effectively manage the complex relationship between technological

advancements and human capital. This paper aims to explore the current state of AI integration in HEIs, identify key academic processes enhanced by AI technologies, examine the challenges and barriers to AI adoption in higher education, analyze the evolving role and competencies of educational leadership in navigating AI integration, and to provide actionable recommendations for institutions aiming to leverage AI effectively.

2. Materials and Methods

This study employs a structured methodology to investigate the integration of AI in HEIs by focusing on enhancing academic processes and leadership dynamics. This approach offers an ex-tensive and methodical examination of contemporary scholarly contributions, emphasizing significant trends, barriers, and prospective trajectories for subsequent research. Additionally, the process adheres to a rigorous selection and assessment methodology to guarantee that only literature of high quality and relevance is incorporated.

Aiming to carry out a meticulous literature review, a broad investigation of five significant research databases was completed to secure vital insights: Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and ERIC. These databases were chosen due to their comprehensive scope encompassing peer-reviewed scholarly work pertaining to education, technology, and artificial intelligence research. The search strategy utilized a combination of applicable keywords and Boolean operators to augment precision and recall. The primary search terms included: “Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education”, “AI and Academic Processes”, “AI in University Administration”, “Educational Leadership and AI”, and “AI Integration in Teaching and Learning”.

These keywords were used in various combinations with Boolean operators (AND, OR) to refine the search results. Moreover, parameters such as publication date (within the last ten years), linguistic medium (English), and document classification (peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and book chapters) were applied to guarantee the incorporation of current and significant research findings. Also, exclusion criteria focused on articles published before 2014, studies focusing on AI in elementary or secondary education without implications for HEIs, non-peer-reviewed sources, and publications in other languages than English.

The extracted data were categorized and analyzed based on thematic coding. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns, emerging trends, and challenges in AI adoption within HE. The selected studies were coded into these themes: “AI benefits in teaching and learning”, “AI use in academic writing”, “Educational leadership role in AI era”, and “AI and digital divide in HEIs”.

3. Results

3.1. *The AI Benefits in Teaching and Learning*

The integration of AI into the field of education is not a recent phenomenon. The inquiry into the capacity of AI to augment productivity and elevate educational standards can be traced back to the 1960s (Williamson & Eynon, 2020; Zhang & Aslan, 2021). Since then, scholars have diligently examined the integration of AI within educational frameworks, achieving notable advancements in fortifying the rapport between educators and learners (Ojha et al., 2023). As generative AI continues to evolve, scholars have increasingly recognized the significant opportunities this technological advancement offers for enriching educational experiences in various academic contexts (Holmes & Miao, 2023). AI has speedily emerged as a revolutionary agent in the field of education, fundamentally changing methodologies concerning pedagogy and knowledge acquisition. The integration of AI has catalyzed scientific and technical advances that have transformed teaching materials, methods, educational models, and systems (İçen, 2022). This powerful technology enables the personalization of learning experiences, automates administrative tasks, and provides real-time feedback (Ahmad et al., 2024; Hooda et al., 2022; Maghsudi et al., 2021; Owoc et al., 2021). Educators are addressing gaps in traditional education models by utilizing AI-enhanced strategies, fostering a more inclusive and efficient learning environment (Ahmad et al., 2023; Joshi et al., 2021; Owoc et al., 2021; Walter, 2024). AI offers numerous benefits in teaching and learning (table 1).

Table 1. AI benefits in teaching and learning.

Benefit	Description	References
Grading and Evaluation	AI is a game-changer when it comes to grading. By automating repetitive tasks, educators are relieved from the onerous demands of time-consuming processes. This allows them to focus on more significant aspects of teaching. Tools like PEG Writing for automated essay scoring systems evaluate written assignments using predefined criteria, providing immediate results. AI tools aid in formative assessments by providing immediate feedback, enabling students to identify their strengths and areas for improvement.	Ahmad et al., 2024; González-Calatayud et al., 2021; Hooda et al., 2022; Owoc et al., 2021; Swiecki et al., 2022; Tobler, 2024.
Students' Engagement	Technologies powered by AI are reshaping the educational sphere by promoting interactive and adaptive learning frameworks that significantly enhance student involvement. For example, gamified platforms use AI to tailor educational games to students' proficiency levels, encouraging active participation. AI chatbots can also answer students' queries in real time, keeping them involved outside regular classroom hours.	Alam, 2023; Alam & Mohanty, 2023; Moya & Camacho, 2024; Sarshartehrani et al., 2024; Song et al., 2024; Steele, 2023; Walter, 2024
Intelligent Tutoring Systems	Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) are platforms that employ AI to facilitate customized, individualized tutoring interactions by replicating teacher's pedagogical methodologies. These systems leverage advanced algorithms to assess a student's knowledge, learning style, and progress, delivering customized lessons, exercises, and feedback adapted to individual needs. ITS can adapt in real-time, offering hints, explanations, or additional resources when a student struggles with a concept. By continuously monitoring performance, these systems ensure mastery of specific skills or topics, enabling self-paced learning.	Ahmad et al., 2024; Arar et al., 2024; Ateş, 2024; Du Boulay, 2016; Owoc et al., 2021
Predicting Student's Performance	AI analyzes patterns in student data to predict academic outcomes. Using ML models, institutions can foresee how students might perform in future courses based on past performance, attendance, and participation. This enables early intervention to address potential challenges.	Ahmad et al., 2024; Alturki et al., 2022; Batool et al., 2023; Bressane et al., 2022; Bressane et al., 2024; Kujur et al., 2023
Tracking Academic Progress and Identifying At-risk Students	AI systems continuously monitor students' academic progress by analyzing grades, attendance, and participation data. Early warning systems powered by AI identify at-risk students, enabling timely support to prevent dropouts or academic failures.	Bressane et al., 2024; Khan et al., 2021; Kujur et al., 2023; Shiao et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2024; Song et al., 2024
Feedback	AI offers personalized, timely, and constructive feedback on assignments, quizzes, and projects. It can pinpoint specific areas where students struggle and suggest ways to improve. In some cases, AI feedback systems also coach students to refine skills like writing.	Halkiopoulou & Gkintoni, 2024; Hooda et al., 2022; Kujur et al., 2023; Lee, 2023; Owoc et al., 2021; Sajja et al., 2024; Seo et al., 2021
Personalized Learning	AI customizes learning paths to meet students' unique needs and learning paces. Adaptive learning platforms analyze students' strengths and weaknesses and recommend resources, exercises, or alternative learning strategies to optimize their learning experience.	Halkiopoulou & Gkintoni, 2024; Joshi et al., 2021; Maghsudi et al., 2021; Rakap, 2024; Sajja et al., 2024; Seo et al., 2021; Song et al., 2024; Walter, 2024; Yekollu et al., 2024
Learning Analytics	AI processes vast amounts of educational data to provide actionable insights. Teaching professionals can draw on these revelations to advance their instructional approaches, create engaging curricula, and facilitate classroom management. For students, learning analytics can highlight study habits and resource usage trends that correlate with better performance.	Joshi et al., 2021; Salas-Pilco et al., 2022; Song et al., 2024; Susnjak et al., 2022
Virtual Reality	AI integrates virtual reality (VR) to formulate immersive and interactive educational environments. For instance, students can explore historical events, conduct virtual science experiments, or practice skills in simulated environments. AI adapts these VR experiences based on the learner's input, providing a tailored and engaging learning environment	Gandedkar et al., 2021; Luck & Aylett, 2000; Rapaka et al., 2025

AI-driven interventions are demonstrating significant efficacy in addressing deficiencies found in conventional educational frameworks, thereby cultivating more inclusive and effective pedagogical settings. Over time, AI has revolutionized pedagogy and cognitive development methodologies, with its influence growing. Moving forward, AI is projected to play a pivotal role in the future of education (Zhang & Aslan, 2021).

3.1.1. The Evolving Role of AI in Education

The development of AI has resulted in a range of advancements and innovations that have significantly impacted the educational landscape (Kamalov et al., 2023). AI applications in education have been introduced to provide enhanced learning experiences, address pedagogical problems, and offer infrastructural assistance (Seo et al., 2021). Innovative technologies incorporating natural language understanding, advanced DL systems, and hands-on learning enhanced by virtual and augmented environments have been integrated into educational structures, signaling a profound transformation in instructional methodologies (Kamalov et al., 2023; Ojha et al., 2023).

The integration of AI in education has been a gradual process, with researchers exploring its potential for over 30 years (Ojha et al., 2023). The rapid integration of a diversity of AI technologies within the educational sector represents a substantial transformation, wherein methodologies such as NLP, DL, and experiential learning facilitated by VR and augmented reality assume a pivotal function (Chalkiadakis et al., 2024; Ojha et al., 2023). Thus, this integration has affected the evolution of teaching materials, methods, and educational models and systems. Also, the evolution of AI in HEIs is marked by its increasing integration into various aspects of university life, from administrative processes to personalized learning experiences. The strategic adoption of AI in universities is seen as a critical step toward creating innovative universities that leverage technology for improved outcomes (George & Wooden, 2023).

However, this evolution has its challenges. Concerns have been raised about the quality of education, job displacement, bias, privacy, and safety (Ahmad et al., 2023; Akgun & Greenhow, 2022). Additionally, employers' acceptance of qualifications from AI-enriched institutions is a significant factor that could influence the trajectory of HEIs. Despite these concerns, AI is not positioned to replace educators but rather to augment their capabilities and assist in understanding each student's potential and limitations (Bewersdorff et al., 2023; George & Wooden, 2023).

3.2. AI and Academic Writing

The integration of AI in academic writing offers numerous benefits (Davenport & Kalakota, 2019; Yasin & Al-Hamad, 2023). AI tools like ChatGPT and other generative models have revolutionized how researchers approach writing tasks, enhancing productivity and efficiency (He et al., 2023; Khalifa & Albadawy, 2024). AI tools can provide equitable access to writing assistance and foster collaboration between AI, students, and professors (Varsik & Vosberg, 2024).

AI-driven grammar check tools, including Grammarly and QuillBot, have enhanced grammatical accuracy, coherence, and readability. These tools help refine the semantic validity of text generated by large language models, ensuring higher accuracy and contextual relevance (Long, 2022). In addition, utilizing AI tools has shown remarkable advantages. AI enhances writing quality by providing immediate feedback and language support for students learning English as a second language, which is crucial for students facing language barriers in academic settings (Du & Daniel, 2024). Using AI in academic writing also improves lexical resources and grammatical range, contributing to better cohesion and coherence in students' writing (Long, 2022). This integration can significantly reduce the time spent drafting and revising academic manuscripts (Pividori & Greene, 2024). The use of AI in academic writing can address needs in the following areas, although the list is not exhaustive: (a) comprehensive literature review and information collection (Campbell & Cox, 2024; Salvagno et al., 2023); (b) idea generation and topic development (Campbell & Cox, 2024; He et al., 2023; Khalifa & Albadawy, 2024); (c) writing assistance and editing (Tran, 2023; Dergaa et al., 2023; Salvagno et al., 2023; Saqib & Zia, 2024; Weber-Wulff et al., 2023); (d) formatting and compliance (Rasmussen et al., 2018); (e) personalization and feedback (Rad et al., 2023); (f) accessibility (Mohammed & 'Nell' Watson, 2019; Salas-Pilco et al., 2022; Du & Daniel, 2024; Ulla et al., 2024); (g) data analysis and visualization (Shahrul & Mohamed, 2024; Wang, Wu et al., 2023); (h) publication preparation discoverability (Dergaa et al., 2023) (see figure 1).



Figure 1. AI use in academic writing.
Source: developed by the author.

3.3. AI in Academic Integrity

The integration of AI-based solutions to detect and prevent academic dishonesty in HEIs offers promising benefits and notable limitations. The increased desire to maintain high levels of scholarly ethics in HEIs has led to significant pressure to ensure that mechanisms for detecting plagiarism are not only in place but also effective (Ibrahim, 2023). AI-based solutions have significantly improved the detection of plagiarism and AI-generated content. Indeed, the brisk integration of generative AI applications among students could significantly reshape the higher education panorama, leading to concerns from scholars about potential threats to academic integrity (Gruenhagen et al., 2024). They utilize advanced algorithms to scan text materials, achieving high levels of accuracy and speed that surpass traditional methods (Flitcroft et al., 2024; Khalil & Er, 2023). For instance, AI-powered text-checking services like Turnitin, ZeroGPT, and many others have demonstrated accuracy in detecting AI-generated text. AI technologies can adapt to new forms of academic dishonesty as they evolve. Thus, AI detection tools are continually updated to address these challenges, providing a dynamic solution to an ever-changing problem (Saqib & Zia, 2024; Weber-Wulff et al., 2023). Importantly, AI detection tools can be used not only for punitive measures but also to support educational growth (Weber-Wulff et al., 2023). By identifying potential cases of academic dishonesty, educators can offer students opportunities to revise and resubmit their work, fostering a learning environment that emphasizes growth and understanding over punishment (Dusza, 2024). However, AI-based solutions have proven significant limitations. A critical limitation present in AI detection technologies lies in the frequency of false positives, in which human-created texts are erroneously classified as those produced by AI (Dalalah & Dalalah, 2023; Weber-Wulff et al., 2023). This can lead to ethical concerns and challenge trust in these technologies (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Dusza, 2024).

Using AI to detect academic dishonesty raises ethical questions about privacy, consent, and potential misuse. Clear guidelines and ethical standards are needed to ensure these tools are used responsibly (Artyukhov et al., 2024; Mujtaba, 2024). Additionally, reliance on AI tools may necessitate rethinking teaching methods and assessment strategies to integrate these technologies better into the educational process (Bewersdorff et al., 2023; Fowler, 2023; Haleem et al., 2022). This is because the adoption of generative AI might reduce students' ability to write and think critically (Ahmad et al., 2023; Walter, 2024; Zhai et al., 2021). Furthermore, the effectiveness of AI-driven solutions significantly relies on ongoing technological progress. As AI tools become more sophisticated, so do the methods of evading

detection, requiring constant updates and improvements to detection systems (Dergaa et al., 2023).

3.3.1. Proctoring and Automated Grading System

Recent years have witnessed significant educational advancements, particularly highlighted by the widespread adoption of online examination procedures during the COVID-19 pandemic (Newton & Essex, 2024; Verma et al., 2024). This surge in online exams has emphasized the necessity for effective online proctoring systems to monitor students remotely via webcam to ensure exam integrity (Nigam et al., 2021). Online Proctoring Systems use web-based technologies to ensure the integrity of assessments (Lee & Fanguy, 2022; Newton & Essex, 2024; Nicola-Richmond et al., 2024; Nigam et al., 2021). It is indisputable that assessments represent a vital aspect of a distance education program. Thus, applicants may cheat during the exam, so detecting it and taking preventive measures are required to maintain academic integrity (Newton & Essex, 2024). Indeed, internet and wearable connected devices facilitate cheating (Bowen & Watson, 2024; Haleem et al., 2022). Also, the demand for AI-enhanced proctoring solutions has markedly intensified due to the increasing prevalence of online proctoring services (Verma et al., 2024).

AI-based proctoring systems utilize computer vision and ML to monitor students during exams. These systems can detect suspicious behaviors such as unusual head movements, unauthorized objects, and voice recognition to flag potential cheating incidents (Bommireddy et al., 2024; Dwivedi et al., 2023). The integration of AI in proctoring allows for real-time monitoring and recording of exam sessions, providing evidence of misconduct and reducing the reliance on human proctors (Nigam et al., 2021). The implementation of facial recognition and video analysis facilitates the identification of suspicious activities, consequently mitigating false alarms and enhancing the reliability of the detection process (Ahmad et al., 2024). By integrating AI into the educational framework, institutions can improve the integrity of online assessments while reducing the need for human proctors.

AI systems incorporate behavioral analysis and contextual techniques to detect anomalies in student behavior during exam fairness (Dimari et al., 2024). This includes analyzing response patterns and geographical verification to ensure the authenticity of the test-taker (Jia & He, 2022; Nigam et al., 2021). Mitigating AI-assisted academic dishonesty necessitates a comprehensive strategy encompassing ethical pedagogy, partnerships between educational institutions and industry stakeholders, and incorporating AI ethical considerations into the curriculum (Xie et al., 2023). By combining multiple detection methods, these systems provide a comprehensive approach to identifying and preventing cheating, thereby supporting the credibility of online assessments (Holden et al., 2021; Tiong et al., 2024). Also, encouraging students to engage positively with AI technologies can shift their attention away from academic dishonesty, fostering an environment that promotes the ethical use of these tools (Xie et al., 2023).

3.4. Artificial Intelligence and Administrative Tasks in HEIs

AI is increasingly recognized as a transformative tool in HEIs administration. Enhanced administrative efficiency is one of the benefits AI can offer to HEIs by automating routine tasks, which reduces administrative burdens and allows staff to focus on more strategic activities (Katsamakos et al., 2024). AI integration can facilitate the optimization of operational procedures, improve the quality of decision-making processes, and refine the distribution of resources, thereby elevating both efficiency and educational outcomes.

AI can automate and enhance students' enrollment procedures, making them more efficient and less susceptible to inaccuracies and errors. This includes managing student applications, processing admissions, and handling course registrations (Assiri et al., 2024; Shannaq & Al-Zeidi, 2024). AI-powered analytics provide actionable insights into enrollment trends and student demographics, enabling institutions to allocate resources more effectively and predict future demands (Slim et al., 2018). Also, AI-driven scheduling systems can efficiently allocate resources such as classrooms and faculty, ensuring optimal use of facilities and reducing scheduling conflicts (Diallo & Tudose, 2024). HEIs deal with a large amount of data. Thus, AI systems can automate data management tasks, such as attendance tracking and grading, allowing educators to focus on more strategic activities (Fan, 2024; Khan et al., 2021). Furthermore, financial management tasks, such as budgeting and accounting, can be streamlined through AI, allowing for more accurate and timely financial operations (Abdullah & Almaqtari, 2024; Zhang et al., 2020).

AI-enhanced Decision Support Systems (DSS) are crucial in strategic planning and

resource management in the administrative task routine. These systems help administrators make informed decisions by analyzing large datasets to identify patterns and trends (Gupta et al., 2021). AI can also improve the efficiency and effectiveness of DSS by integrating ML and predictive analytics, which aid in optimizing student enrollment, curriculum development, and strategic planning (Funda & Francke, 2024; Soori et al., 2024). In addition, AI helps in tracking students' academic progress and identifying at-risk students, which allows the administration to provide timely interventions and support (Khan et al., 2021; Kujur et al., 2023).

In terms of communication and student support, AI can facilitate more effective communication between students, faculty, and administration through automated notifications and real-time updates (Seo et al., 2021). Chatbots and virtual assistants can handle routine inquiries and support services, reducing the workload on administrative staff and improving response times for student requests (Igbokwe, 2023). Also, AI tools promote dynamic virtual teamwork and improve communication in academic settings, facilitating complex group tasks and providing real-time feedback (Arslan et al., 2022).

3.5. The Evolving Educational Leadership Role in AI Era

As educational institutions strive to keep pace with technological changes, the role of school leadership becomes crucial in successfully integrating AI-based solutions into teaching, learning, and administrative processes (Popenici & Kerr, 2017). The educational institution leaders are responsible for steering through the complex dynamics associated with the deployment of AI. They are to guarantee its smooth incorporation and cultivate an environment that acknowledges the advantages of these revolutionary technologies. The significance of institutional leadership is critical in dealing with the challenges and opportunities presented by AI, which includes the imperative for strategic policymaking, ethical considerations, and the allocation of resources (Tarisayi, 2024).

While AI offers the potential to personalize learning, streamline administrative processes, and enhance decision-making, it also presents technical, ethical, and cultural challenges that require careful management (Tarisayi, 2024). Leadership must address these challenges by fostering an environment that supports responsible AI adoption and ensuring the necessary infrastructure and support systems are in place (Tarisayi, 2024). The rising interest in AI in educational contexts has driven researchers to explore the consequences of these technological developments on teaching practices, academic performance, and management procedures in universities (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Researchers have highlighted the need to increase understanding of AI's power in educational contexts, emphasizing the importance of institutional leaders in navigating this rapidly evolving field. Effective leadership in this domain requires a deep understanding of the evolving AI landscape and its implications for HEIs (Ge & Hu, 2020). Institutional leaders must stay informed about the ongoing developments in AI, their possible advantages, and the challenges that arise.

Institutional leadership is critical in navigating AI's challenges and opportunities, including the need for strategic decision-making, ethical considerations, and resource allocation (Wang, 2021). In the context of the rapid evolution of technological advancements, it is imperative for university administrators to actively recognize and engage with the potential ramifications of AI on pedagogy, scholarship, and student support. One significant concern is AI's potential impact on the traditional roles of faculty and staff (Popenici & Kerr, 2017). Although the incorporation of AI tools within HEIs has gained significant traction, a potential hazard is associated with improper assimilation of these tools, which can result in ineffective outcomes. Instead, institutional leaders must embrace a forward-thinking approach, recognizing AI's transformative potential and adapting their institutions accordingly (Tuomi, 2018).

Another concern surrounding the increased use of AI in HEIs is the potential for amplifying existing biases. Misuse of AI algorithms can have disadvantageous effects on students, faculty, and staff, perpetuating inequalities and adversely impacting assessment outcomes (Wang, 2021). It cannot be overlooked that the ethical concerns regarding AI in higher learning need attention. The ethical and acceptable utilization of AI tools requires immediate attention before integrating generative AI into educational systems globally (Fullan et al., 2024). However, Selwyn (2016) argues that technologies can be adopted in education while their impact and ethical considerations are addressed progressively through feedback and adaptation. This perspective emphasizes the learning-by-doing process, where issues can be addressed as they emerge rather than delaying the adoption of AI in HEIs.

Taking preventive actions is crucial to address the challenges related to data privacy and algorithm biases and ensure that AI systems align with established institutional values (Chaudhry & Kazim, 2022). Therefore, institutional leaders are responsible for ensuring that AI-driven initiatives comply with the highest standards of integrity and accountability by setting proper ethical frameworks and engaging in transparent decision-making processes. By embracing AI's transformative potential and addressing the associated concerns, higher education leaders can position their institutions as hubs of innovation and excellence, ultimately shaping the future of education and research. Thus, specific leadership styles are needed to integrate AI into HEIs successfully.

3.5.1. Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership is essential in technological advancement because it inspires and motivates employees to embrace change and innovation (Kabanda, 2024). In contemporary HEIs, leadership is viewed predominantly as a human-centered issue focused on influencing individuals' mindsets, values, and behaviors (Smith & Riley, 2012). Transformational leaders serve as role models, encouraging followers to prioritize organizational goals and fostering an environment conducive to internal entrepreneurship (Alqatawneh, 2018; Burns, 1978; Lai et al., 2020). They also promote innovative work behavior through intrinsic motivation, particularly in technology-driven workplaces with continuous learning and adaptability (Lai et al., 2020).

Transformational leadership typically exerts a beneficial influence on both the domains of innovation and crisis management (Chen et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2013). However, there are situations where its efficiency may be context-dependent. For example, a study found negative effects of transformational leadership in technological innovation during crisis management in local government units, suggesting that applying this leadership style may need to be tailored to specific organizational contexts (Peter & Placido, 2023). Transformational leadership is needed in the era of technological advancement. It promotes a culture of innovation and adaptability, which is vital to succeeding in a dynamic technological landscape. Moreover, the efficacy of this leadership style may fluctuate based on the prevailing context. Therefore, transformational leaders may need to rethink their strategies to suit the nuances of their organizational settings.

3.5.2. Visionary Leadership

Effective school leadership is crucial for integrating AI within educational institutions. Leaders must articulate a vision that aligns with the school's mission and values, ensuring that the adoption of AI supports the institution's primary goals (Tyson & Sauers, 2021). Visionary leadership is characterized by the ability to create and implement a clear vision, which is essential for guiding schools through the complexities of AI integration (Villman & Kaivo-oja, 2024).

To make informed decisions and strategic integration of AI within their organizations, leaders need to possess basic skills in AI technologies (Schiuma et al., 2024). Adaptability, emotional intelligence, and creativity are key characteristics of visionary leadership in navigating the challenges posed by AI. Leaders must anticipate the impact of AI, foster innovation, and encourage entrepreneurship (Tasnim, 2024). They must be able to cope with the challenges they face in achieving their organizational goals and ensure sustainable growth (Abbas & Asghar, 2010; Singh, 2023). The effectiveness of integrating AI in education depends on the leaders' ability to share a vision that supports the institution's mission and values. This vision must be communicated and operationalized to ensure it influences daily practice and supports the institution's strategic goals.

3.5.3. Digital Leadership

Digital leadership has become a pivotal strategy in the contemporary digital era, characterized by rapid technological advancements and organizational transformations (Omol, 2024; Qiao et al., 2024). This concept captures the ability of leaders to guide and influence their organizations through the complexities of digital change, integrate technology into business strategies, foster innovation, and develop digital competencies among employees (Kabanda, 2024). Thus, navigating an organization through digitalization demands leaders who possess a specific set of competencies and qualities (Tascherer & Carbon, 2023).

While the significance of digital leadership in fostering organizational success and enhancing productivity is widely acknowledged, numerous entities continue to undervalue its critical importance, consequently resulting in considerable barriers (Araujo et al., 2021). Also, the contemporary digital landscape necessitates a departure from conventional leadership

paradigms, demanding the acquisition of new competencies and a transformative mindset to navigate a tumultuous business environment effectively (Erhan et al., 2022; Sheninger, 2019). Digital leadership is imperative for organizations; it involves synthesizing technological insight, strategic vision, and the capacity for adaptation and innovation.

Implementing AI technologies presents various obstacles and necessitates support from organizational management to ensure smooth integration and acceptance (Divya et al., 2024; Sagnières, 2022). In the contemporary business environment, organizations that fail to consistently innovate, acquire, or adapt new technologies risk becoming obsolete within a brief timeframe. Hence, fostering a space that is favorable for technological innovation and development efforts is essential for organizational leaders (Daft, 2021; Rehman et al., 2021). This involves fostering a digitally advanced learning environment while integrating these technologies into effective management and leadership practices in contemporary educational institutions (Karakose & Tülübas, 2024).

3.5.4. Strategic Planning

Strategic planning is crucial for leadership in implementing AI in HEIs (Biloslavo et al., 2024). Various key determinants shape the practical implementation of AI within HEIs through strategic planning. These factors encompass infrastructure, strategic frameworks, ethical considerations, stakeholder engagement, and adaptability to technological advancements. Successful AI integration requires addressing infrastructure limitations and adopting comprehensive strategic models like the AI8-Point Model, which provides a structured approach to balancing cost and impact while enhancing student engagement and institutional processes (Barnes & Hutson, 2024; Patel & Ragolane, 2024).

The AI8-Point Model, as explained by Barnes and Hutson (2024), presents a systematic framework for incorporating AI in tertiary education in alignment with institutional objectives and strategic long-term planning. It methodically harnesses AI's capabilities to enhance education quality and operations' efficacy. Prioritizing a cost-effective, high-value methodology assures that AI implementations maximize resource utilization while promoting innovation. Furthermore, the model integrates established frameworks and confronts ethical as well as operational challenges, thereby offering a pragmatic guideline for the advancement of education through the application of AI.

However, the framework's emphasis on affordability and significant strategies might diverge from the broader ethical implications examined about AI's influence on human existence, as detailed in Nyholm and Rüter (2023). The latter underscores the significance of meaningful engagement within AI ethics, positing that the AI8-Point Model could be enhanced by integrating ethical dimensions that address the meaningfulness gap.

3.5.5. Building AI Literacy Among Faculty, Staff, and Students

HEIs leadership can build AI literacy among faculty, staff, and students to effectively integrate AI technologies into educational practices. This involves continuous adaptation to technological advancements and fostering digital competencies (Yadav, 2024). People need to be prepared to use AI and benefit from its potential (Fundi et al., 2024; Walter, 2024). Promoting AI skills among teachers, support teams, and students in tertiary education requires a structured framework for blending AI advancements into teaching techniques. Leadership in these institutions plays a crucial role in facilitating this transformation by fostering an environment conducive to AI learning and application.

AI literacy encompasses knowledge, skills, and attitudes towards AI technologies (Bowen & Watson, 2024). It involves understanding the ethical implications of AI use, such as data privacy and academic integrity, which are critical for maintaining trust and fairness in educational settings (Song, 2024). Furthermore, it is crucial to cultivate an optimistic disposition regarding AI and its prospective advantages. It involves psychosocial factors, such as a positive attitude toward AI tools, in transforming teaching and assessment practices (Al Darayseh, 2023; Laupichler et al., 2024).

Integrating AI education into colleges and universities can be achieved by establishing extensive training initiatives for teachers, administrators, and students regarding AI tools and innovations (Luckin et al., 2022). Leadership is essential in driving people within organizations to engage with AI technologies (Divya et al., 2024; Zaidi et al., 2024). By fostering a continuous learning and adaptation culture, institutions can empower their members to leverage AI-powered tools. This proactive approach will help in overcoming challenges related to faculty readiness and ensure a smooth transition towards AI-enhanced educational practices (Vashishth, Sharma, Sharma, & Kumar, 2024). The synergy between humans and

AI can be leveraged to enhance AI literacy, as demonstrated by the successful integration of GenAI tools in educational settings (Tzirides et al., 2024). Also, teachers, students, and staff's readiness to use AI tools depends on their perception of the usefulness and relevance of AI in education (Ayanwale et al., 2022).

3.5.6. Handling Resistance to Change

For most companies today, change is the daily norm. Over time, educational institutions change in response to the dynamic environment that exerts pressure on them. These changes are driven by technological advancements and economic shifts, which make these organizations adapt their structural and operational aspects (Alanoglu et al., 2022). Indeed, in a complex, interconnected, and unpredictable global environment, organizations require an adaptable workforce, demonstrating their capacity to respond quickly to dynamic situations (Endrejat et al., 2021). In this light, it is the responsibility of managers to foster a sweeping transformation of the organizational culture and the practices in place (Daft, 2021). Effective change implementation is a critical issue for any organizational leadership in the 21st century. Also, the efficacy of organizational change predominantly depends on the attitudes and responses of employees toward such change (Ahmad & Cheng, 2018; Rehman et al., 2021).

In HEIs, resistance to adopting AI may be influenced by various factors, including technology readiness, technological dependence, and ethical issues (Oliveira et al., 2024). Indeed, when AI is implemented within an organization, employees might feel anxious about possibly replacing their jobs with automated systems or doubt the claimed benefits of AI technology (Li et al., 2023; Tai, 2020). However, the successful implementation of change is contingent upon the commitment of both management and staff to dedicate the necessary time and exertion toward attaining new goals (Daft, 2021).

Resistance to change is a significant factor influencing the successful implementation of reforms (Li et al., 2023; Rehman et al., 2021; Warrick, 2023). Indeed, organizations consist of individuals and the dynamics of their interpersonal connections. Alterations in strategy, structure, technologies, and products do not occur autonomously. Modifications in any of these domains necessitate changes among personnel. Therefore, transforming organizational culture can be particularly challenging as it confronts individuals' fundamental values and established cognitive and behavioral patterns. In HEIs, resistance to change occurs when new strategies are introduced, compelling teachers or students to accept a different style without being involved in the decision-making (Yılmaz & Kılıçoğlu, 2013).

When addressing resistance to change, leaders should develop and effectively communicate well-conceived strategies while simultaneously soliciting and considering opposing opinions. Leaders must establish positive relationships, even with individuals who may be skeptical of their leadership (Fullan, 2020). Regardless of the technologies developed for education or the extent of technological integration into learning processes, the human element remains a significant factor, particularly concerning the learner and teacher. Therefore, while leveraging effective educational technologies, it is imperative to contextualize these modern tools within a broader framework of human education to preserve its humanistic, developmental purpose and, consequently, optimize their utilization (Serdyukov, 2017). Indeed, in a competitive environment, change is needed within educational institutions (Kabanda, 2021; Lomba-Portela et al., 2022).

3.5.7. Handling Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations and data privacy are also critical factors in HEIs. The integration of AI in HEIs must navigate ethical challenges, such as data privacy and algorithmic bias, which can impede successful adoption if not correctly managed (Benzie & Montasari, 2023; Tsamados et al., 2021). Developing policies to address these concerns is essential for responsible AI adoption, ensuring that AI technologies are used ethically and transparently (Salloum, 2024). Stakeholder engagement, including collaboration among policymakers, educators, and technology providers, is vital for navigating the complexities of AI integration. This collaboration helps address socioeconomic disparities and ensure equitable access to AI technologies (El Din & Al Harrasi, 2024). In addition, challenges such as faculty readiness and the potential displacement of traditional teaching methods must be addressed. Institutions must balance innovation with preserving effective educational practices (Vashishth, Sharma, Sharma, & Kumar, 2024).

3.5.8. Handling Sensitive Data

Handling sensitive data when using AI systems in HEIs is an issue that involves balancing technological advancement with ethical and security considerations. As AI

technologies become increasingly integrated into educational platforms, the privacy and security of student and personnel data emerge as critical concerns. The protection of data privacy and the assurance of security are of high importance. Educational institutions must implement robust frameworks to safeguard sensitive student data against breaches and cyberattacks (Kabanda, 2024). Thus, there is a need for strategies that mitigate risks associated with AI integration, ensuring the confidentiality and integrity of data in educational environments (Aldoseri et al., 2023).

In addition, some scholars (Toapanta et al., 2023) propose a security model based on AI for administrative management, highlighting the necessity of adapting security measures to the specific needs of each institution. The ethical aspects of AI's role in education deserve our attention. Using AI in mentoring and other educational processes raises ethical questions about data integrity, system security, and confidentiality. Köbis and Mehner (2021) deliberated on the significance of conforming to ethical standards and protocols, especially in AI-assisted mentoring, where trust and transparency are paramount. This has echoed the idea that data privacy and academic integrity are significant ethical concerns in using AI in HEIs (Mumtaz et al., 2024; Perkins, 2023).

3.5.9. Setting Policies, Guidelines, and Regulations

HEIs leadership is responsible for developing policies and guidelines for using AI in HEIs. Leaders may have a picture of how AI will bring change within their institutions (Bowen & Watson, 2024). Policies should ensure that human individuals retain moral and legal responsibility for AI-related actions. This includes implementing preventive measures and soft sanctioning procedures to maintain academic integrity (Dabis & Csáki, 2024). Addressing inherent biases and fairness in AI systems is crucial. This can be achieved through diverse datasets and strict adherence to ethical guidelines, ensuring that AI does not perpetuate existing inequalities (Barnes & Hutson, 2024). Also, this requires transparency and clear communication about AI use in educational settings. Transparency includes detailing AI's role in course syllabi and ensuring algorithmic transparency to protect student privacy (Dabis & Csáki, 2024; Ghimire & Edwards, 2024).

Institutions necessitate flexible policy frameworks to respond to the rapid advancement of AI technologies. These frameworks should include guidelines for ethical deployment and address issues such as student privacy and plagiarism risks (Ghimire & Edwards, 2024). Also, developing an ethical AI environment requires interdisciplinary collaboration. Collaboration across disciplines may involve policy regulation, governance, and education to create a comprehensive framework (Barnes & Hutson, 2024). Furthermore, the responsibilities and roles of teachers, staff, and students must be thoroughly clarified, as these are key for efficient AI integration. This supports a collaborative model for navigating the complexities of AI in education (Jin et al., 2024). Maintaining academic integrity is a primary concern. Policies should include guidelines to prevent academic misconduct and ensure student assignments reflect individual knowledge (Castelló-Sirvent et al., 2024; Salloum, 2024).

From a local perspective, HEI leaders must consider a global adoption strategy when setting policies. A global perspective on AI adoption in HEIs reveals a proactive approach by universities, emphasizing academic integrity and equity. However, a comprehensive policy framework is needed to evaluate the impacts of AI integration (Jin et al., 2024). A systems approach can help institutions manage the complexity of AI transformation, emphasizing the importance of understanding the causal feedback mechanisms that drive AI integration (Katsamakos et al., 2024).

3.6. AI and the Digital Divide in Higher Education Institutions

Integrating AI in educational systems raises concerns about the widening of the digital divide within and across HEIs globally (Bentley et al., 2024; Popenici & Kerr, 2017). Indeed, understanding the digital divide involves recognizing the differences that occur among individuals, communities, or geographic regions in their proficiency to access, utilize, or derive benefits from information and communication technologies (Bentley et al., 2024; Lythreitis et al., 2022; Zajko, 2022).

Academic institutions have the responsibility to train and equip future AI-ready workforce for the job market (Bampasidou et al., 2024). Nevertheless, a significant obstacle presented by AI in the realm of HEIs is the unbalanced allocation of resources and access to such technologies (Mannuru et al., 2023). Academic institutions with more financial and technological capabilities are in good condition to implement and utilize AI-driven solutions. This situation creates a divide between technologically proficient and resource-limited

institutions. Thus, inequity may result in a scenario in which students attending well-resourced institutions gain advantages from learning experiences enabled by AI. In contrast, their counterparts in less affluent institutions face disadvantages, further intensifying the pre-existing educational disparities (Bulathwela et al., 2021).

3.6.1. Factors Contributing to the Digital Divide in the AI Era

A study conducted by Assefa, Gebremeskel, Moges, Tilwani, and Azmera (2024) revealed that the significant reasons for the digital divide are the costs of digital resources, weaknesses in infrastructure, and a deficiency in digital skills. Also, the authors have observed that the inconsistency in digital access detrimentally affects the instructional strategies used by educators; therefore, this leads to low student participation in their learning and influences their overall academic success. More critically, the digital divide amplifies pre-existing educational inequalities disproportionately, affecting students, particularly those from marginalized communities who already contend with systemic inequities (Bampasidou et al., 2024).

The implementation of AI in HEIs can also create divides within institutions (Capraro et al., 2024). Indeed, in the same institution, some programs or departments may have the resources and expertise to integrate AI, while others may lack the necessary infrastructure and support. This can result in a category of students receiving a more technology-enhanced educational experience compared to their peers. This potentially hinders their ability to compete for equal opportunity in the job market or to pursue advanced academic training (Bampasidou et al., 2024).

The inequalities in the allocation of educational and computational resources across the globe result in variations in the capacity to access and utilize AI-enhanced educational technologies. This is particularly the reality for developing countries and marginalized communities, where the lack of stable internet connectivity, hardware, and technical expertise can limit the potential benefits of AI in higher education (Akpan et al., 2024; Assefa et al., 2024; Bulathwela et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2024; Vinuesa et al., 2020). Indeed, in countries with limited resources, HEIs face major obstacles in adopting digital transformation and AI innovations. The diversity in training programs, duration, and subjects makes the AI adoption process more complex (Quy et al., 2023). Also, the Global South experiences significant gaps in AI acceptance within higher education. Therefore, the delay in adopting AI technologies within HEIs only amplifies the existing digital gap (Abulibdeh et al., 2023; Bentley et al., 2024).

The digital divide extends beyond mere access to technology; it includes digital confidence and competence. Research indicates that structural, behavioral, and psychological differences contribute to varying levels of digital confidence. Multiple elements, like sex, age range, income, access to online resources, and digital well-being, profoundly affect a person's comprehension, recognition, and perceived proficiency concerning digital technologies (Bentley et al., 2024). Thus, the digital confidence gap can potentially exacerbate the consequences of digital exclusion and limit the benefits of AI for specific groups.

3.6.2. Algorithmic Bias and Digital Divide

AI's data-driven nature introduces concerns about algorithmic bias. Algorithm bias deepens the digital divide between Western and developing countries by perpetuating inequities in data representation, outcomes, and access to technology (Ferrara, 2024; Roche et al., 2022; Shiohira, 2021; Zajko, 2022). Algorithmic bias carries profound consequences for the transformation of diversity, inclusion, and the processes of marginalization (Arora et al., 2023; Ferrara, 2024). AI systems are commonly built on datasets that largely represent Western models, compromising their usefulness in the heterogeneous socio-economic landscapes of developing countries (Chu et al., 2022; Norori et al., 2021). This results in skewed outcomes, such as poor decision-making tools, discrimination in hiring or financial services, and the exclusion of marginalized communities (Ferrara, 2024).

To address this challenge, it is crucial to promote inclusive data practices, invest in AI education and infrastructure in developing countries, and foster global collaboration in AI governance (Shiohira, 2021). Policymakers and developers must ensure that AI systems are regularly audited for bias and designed to accommodate diverse contexts (Ferrara, 2024; Shiohira, 2021). By prioritizing equitable access to AI innovation and enabling local participation in its development, the global community can transform AI into a tool for reducing inequalities rather than amplifying them, bridging the digital divide and fostering more inclusive growth (Ferrara, 2024; Roche et al., 2022; Zajko, 2022).

Also, a comprehensive and multifaceted strategy is necessary to mitigate these obstacles

and ensure that AI integration within HEIs fosters enhanced equity and inclusion. Policymakers, educational institutions, and technology innovators must collaborate on collective initiatives to establish robust governance frameworks, allocate resources toward digital infrastructure and skill development, and create AI solutions fundamentally grounded in equity, transparency, and accountability (Bulathwela et al., 2021; Vinuesa et al., 2020).

4. Discussion

The integration of AI in HEIs has significantly transformed various aspects of academic processes and leadership dynamics. The thematic analysis presented in the study elucidates pivotal domains in which AI exerts influence, precisely the advantages of AI in pedagogy and scholarship, the application of AI in academic composition, the implications of AI for academic integrity, the utilization of AI in administrative functions, the responsibilities of educational leadership within the context of the AI epoch, and the intersection of AI with the digital divide in HEIs. This section discusses these themes in relation to existing literature and implications for practice.

AI has transformed education by enabling personalized learning, improving resource accessibility, and promoting interactive experiences. Research shows that AI-powered adaptive systems customize content to students' needs, enhancing efficiency and supporting differentiated instruction. However, concerns about reduced human interaction and overdependence on technology persist (Volokyta & Lipska, 2023). To address this, institutions should balance AI integration with human involvement to preserve the core principles of pedagogy. The research underscores the increasing utilization of AI tools in academic writing, encompassing grammar assessment tools, plagiarism identification systems, and content creation technologies. While tools like Grammarly, Turnitin, and ChatGPT enhance writing quality and integrity, they also raise ethical concerns about originality and reliance on AI. Scholars emphasize that AI should aid, not replace critical thinking and creativity in academic writing (Baker et al., 2019).

AI plays a dual role within academic integrity: it functions as an instrument for identifying plagiarism and ethical violations, concurrently introducing new obstacles associated with academic dishonesty. The study results suggest that AI-enhanced plagiarism detection systems have evolved into a critical element of academic integrity frameworks within HEIs. However, the advent of AI-generated text, deepfake technologies, and sophisticated paraphrasing tools has made it more challenging to identify academic misconduct. This necessitates a re-evaluation of existing academic integrity frameworks to address AI-related ethical dilemmas. Institutions should focus on fostering a culture of integrity through awareness programs, faculty training, and the implementation of AI-augmented assessment methods that emphasize originality and critical thinking (Mantas et al., 2024).

The findings show that AI is becoming increasingly important in the way HEIs manage their administrative tasks. These advancements contribute to efficiency and cost reduction in HEIs, allowing human administrators to focus on strategic decision-making and student engagement (Luckin et al., 2022). However, concerns regarding data privacy, system reliability, and potential job displacement remain. Institutions must ensure that AI adoption in administration adheres to ethical and regulatory guidelines, prioritizing data security and human oversight to prevent biases and system failures.

AI's integration into HE necessitates a shift in leadership roles and competencies. The study highlights the need for educational leaders to develop AI literacy and foster an institutional culture that embraces technological advancements. Transformational leadership, characterized by its focus on innovation, collaboration, and adaptability, holds particular significance in the contemporary AI era. This corroborates the idea that AI and human leaders should play complementary roles, where AI handles data-driven tasks while human leaders focus on strategic, ethical, and interpersonal aspects of decision-making (Dai et al., 2024).

One of the critical challenges identified in the study is the digital divide in HEIs, where disparities in access to AI technologies hinder equal educational opportunities. The digital divide manifests in various forms, including unequal access to AI-powered learning tools, inadequate infrastructure, and faculty-student disparities in AI literacy. This aligns with global concerns about AI exacerbating existing educational inequalities, particularly in developing regions (van Deursen & van Dijk, 2014).

AI integration in HE presents opportunities and challenges that require strategic policy interventions. Policymakers must establish comprehensive guidelines on AI ethics, data

privacy, and academic integrity to ensure responsible AI implementation in HEIs (Molina-Carmona & García-Peñalvo, 2025). Furthermore, faculty development initiatives must be accorded priority to equip educators with competencies pertinent to pedagogical practices involving AI.

5. Conclusions

Integrating AI into HEIs represents a profound shift in pedagogical and administrative practices. This review has traced AI's historical evolution, examined its increasing integration within HE, and illuminated the diverse opportunities and challenges accompanying its implementation. AI has shown its potential to transform academic writing, enhance academic integrity through auto-mated proctoring, streamline administrative tasks, and improve the overall student learning experience.

Nevertheless, the proficient utilization of AI in HEIs poses several difficulties, mainly related to ethical dilemmas, privacy worries, and resistance to change. These challenges underscore the critical role of educational leadership in navigating the AI revolution. Transformational, visionary, and digital leadership styles are pivotal in fostering an environment that embraces AI and safeguards institutional values. Educational leaders must prioritize AI literacy, ethical considerations, strategic planning, and developing clear policies to facilitate AI adoption. The role of school leadership is not merely to implement AI but to ensure that it is used to enhance the mission of HEIs in fostering learning and innovation.

Further studies may focus on the certain areas. Firstly, comparative studies across different geo-graphical regions and types of institutions can provide valuable insights into the diverse ways AI is adopted and utilized. This could offer a clearer picture of best practices and potential areas for improvement in AI-driven education. Secondly, more research is needed to examine specific leadership strategies that effectively facilitate the integration of AI in HE. Studies could focus on how different leadership styles (e.g., transformational vs. transactional) influence AI adoption and the success of AI initiatives. And thirdly, investigations should examine strategies for enhancing AI literacy among academic personnel, administrative staff, and the student body. Effective models for AI training programs and workshops could be developed and evaluated to better equip stakeholders for the evolving educational landscape.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abbas, W., & Asghar, I. (2010). *The Role of Leadership In Organizational Change: Relating the successful Organizational Change with Visionary and Innovative Leadership* (MA Thesis). University of Gävle, Sweden.
- Abdullah, A. A. H., & Almaqtari, F. A. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence and Industry 4.0 on transforming accounting and auditing practices. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 10(1), 100218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2024.100218>
- Abulibdeh, E., Taha, S., & Alamassi, S. (2023). Challenges Facing Underdeveloped Countries in Implementing Cutting-Edge AI Technology. In S. G. Yaseen (Ed.), *Studies in Big Data, Cutting-Edge Business Technologies in the Big Data Era: Proceedings of the 18th SICB "Sustainability and Cutting-Edge Business Technologies"* (pp. 239–250). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-42455-7_22
- Ahmad, A. B., & Cheng, Z. (2018). The Role of Change Content, Context, Process, and Leadership in Understanding Employees' Commitment to Change: The Case of Public Organizations in Kurdistan Region of Iraq. *Public Personnel Management*, 47(2), 195–216. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091026017753645>
- Ahmad, K., Iqbal, W., El-Hassan, A., Qadir, J., Benhaddou, D., Ayyash, M., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2024). Data-Driven Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Comprehensive Review. In *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, vol. 17 (pp. 12–31). <https://doi.org/10.1109/tlt.2023.3314610>
- Ahmad, S. F., Han, H., Alam, M. M., Rehmat, M. K., Irshad, M., Arraño-Muñoz, M., & Ariza-Montes, A. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence on human loss in decision making, laziness and safety in education. *Humanities & Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 311. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01787-8>
- Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI and Ethics*, 2(3), 431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7>
- Akila, D., Jeyalakshmi, S., Padmapriya, D., Devipriya, Prithika, P., & Elangovan, V. R. (2022). Significance of Machine Learning and Deep Learning in Development of Artificial Intelligence. In A. Mishra, N. T. D. Linh, M. Bhardwaj, & C. M. A. Pinto (Eds.), *Multi-Criteria Decision Models in Software Reliability* (pp. 25–44). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780367816414-2>
- Akpan, I. J., Offodile, O. F., Akpanobong, A. C., & Kobara, Y. M. (2024). A Comparative Analysis of Virtual Education Technology, E-Learning Systems Research Advances, and Digital Divide in the Global South. *Informatics*, 11(3), Article 53. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics11030053>

- Al Darayseh, A. (2023). Acceptance of artificial intelligence in teaching science: Science teachers' perspective. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 100132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100132>
- Alam, A. (2021). Possibilities and Apprehensions in the Landscape of Artificial Intelligence in Education. In *2021 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Applications* (pp. 1–8). Nagpur, India. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iccica52458.2021.9697272>
- Alam, A. (2023). Harnessing the Power of AI to Create Intelligent Tutoring Systems for Enhanced Classroom Experience and Improved Learning Outcomes. In G. Rajakumar, K.-L. Du, & Á. Rocha (Eds.), *Intelligent Communication Technologies and Virtual Mobile Networks* (pp. 571–591). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-1767-9_42
- Alam, A., & Mohanty, A. (2023). Educational technology: Exploring the convergence of technology and pedagogy through mobility, interactivity, AI, and learning tools. *Cogent Engineering*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2023.2283282>
- Alanoglu, M., Aslan, S., & Karabatak, S. (2022). Do teachers' educational philosophies affect their digital literacy? The mediating effect of resistance to change. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), 3447–3466. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10753-3>
- Aldoseri, A., Al-Khalifa, K. N., & Hamouda, A. M. (2023). Re-Thinking Data Strategy and Integration for Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Applied Sciences*, 13(12), 7082. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13127082>
- Alqatawneh, A. S. (2018). Transformational leadership style and its relationship with change management. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 19, 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2018.03>
- Alturki, S., Hulpuş, I., & Stuckenschmidt, H. (2022). Predicting Academic Outcomes: A Survey from 2007 Till 2018. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 27(1), 275–307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-020-09476-0>
- Anurag, A. S. (2024). Early Beginnings of AI: The Field of Research in Computer Science. In K. L. Tennin, S. Ray, & J. M. Sorg (Eds.), *Cases on AI Ethics in Business* (pp. 1–20). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2643-5.ch001>
- Arar, K., Tlili, A., & Salha, S. (2024). Human-Machine symbiosis in educational leadership in the era of artificial intelligence (AI): Where are we heading? *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432241292295>
- Araujo, L. M. de, Priadana, S., Paramarta, V., & Sunarsi, D. (2021). Digital leadership in business organizations: an overview. *International Journal of Educational Administration, Management, and Leadership*, 2(1), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.51629/ijcamal.v2i1.18>
- Arora, A., Barrett, M., Lee, E., Oborn, E., & Prince, K. (2023). Risk and the future of AI: Algorithmic bias, data colonialism, and marginalization. *Information and Organization*, 33(3), 100478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2023.100478>
- Arslan, A., Cooper, C., Khan, Z., Golgeci, I., & Ali, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence and human workers interaction at team level: a conceptual assessment of the challenges and potential HRM strategies. *International Journal of Manpower*, 43(1), 75–88. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-01-2021-0052>
- Artyukhov, A., Wolowiec, T., Artyukhova, N., Bogacki, S., & Vasylieva, T. (2024). SDG 4, Academic Integrity and Artificial Intelligence: Clash or Win-Win Cooperation? *Sustainability*, 16(19), Article 8483. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198483>
- Assefa, Y., Gebremeskel, M. M., Moges, B. T., Tilwani, S. A., & Azmera, Y. A. (2024). Rethinking the digital divide and associated educational in(equity) in higher education in the context of developing countries: the social justice perspective. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 42(1), 15–32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-03-2024-0058>
- Assiri, B., Bashraheel, M., & Alsuri, A. (2024). Enhanced Student Admission Procedures at Universities Using Data Mining and Machine Learning Techniques. *Applied Sciences*, 14(3), Article 1109. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14031109>
- Ateş, H. (2024). Integrating augmented reality into intelligent tutoring systems to enhance science education outcomes. *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12970-y>
- Ayanwale, M. A., Sanusi, I. T., Adelana, O. P., Aruleba, K. D., & Oyeler, S. S. (2022). Teachers' readiness and intention to teach artificial intelligence in schools. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, Article 100099. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100099>
- Baker, T., Smith, L., Anissa, N. (2019). Educ-AI-tion Rebooted? Exploring the future of artificial intelligence in schools and colleges. Nesta. https://media.nesta.org.uk/documents/Future_of_AI_and_education_v5_WEB.pdf
- Bampasidou, M., Goldgaber, D., Gentimis, T., & Mandalika, A. (2024). Overcoming 'Digital Divides': Leveraging higher education to develop next generation digital agriculture professionals. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 224, Article 109181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2024.109181>
- Barnes, E., & Hutson, J. (2024). Strategic Integration of AI in Higher Education and Industry: The AI8-Point Model. *Advances in Social Sciences and Management*, 2(6), 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.63002/assm.26.520>
- Batool, S., Rashid, J., Nisar, M. W., Kim, J., Kwon, H.-Y., & Hussain, A. (2023). Educational data mining to predict students' academic performance: A survey study. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(1), 905–971. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11152-y>
- Bentley, S. V., Naughtin, C. K., McGrath, M. J., Irons, J. L., & Cooper, P. S. (2024). The digital divide in action: How experiences of digital technology shape future relationships with artificial intelligence. *AI and Ethics*, 4(4), 901–915. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00452-3>
- Benzie, A., & Montasari, R. (2023). Bias, Privacy and Mistrust: Considering the Ethical Challenges of Artificial Intelligence. In R. Montasari (Ed.), *Advanced Sciences and Technologies for Security Applications. Applications for Artificial Intelligence and Digital Forensics in National Security* (pp. 1–14). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-40118-3_1
- Bewersdorff, A., Seßler, K., Baur, A., Kasneci, E., & Nerdel, C. (2023). Assessing student errors in experimentation using artificial intelligence and large language models: A comparative study with human raters. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 5, Article 100177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100177>
- Biloslavo, R., Edgar, D., Aydin, E., & Bulut, C. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) and strategic planning process within VUCA environments: A research agenda and guidelines. *Management Decision*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-1944>
- Bommireddy, L. R., Marasu, R. T., Karanam, R. P., & Sri, K. S. (2024). Smart Proctoring System Using AI. In *2023 3rd International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Social Networking* (pp. 591–593). Salem, India. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPCSN58827.2023.00103>
- Bowen, J. A., & Watson, C. E. (2024). *Teaching with AI: A practical guide to a new era of human learning*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Bressane, A., Spalding, M., Zwirn, D., Loureiro, A. I. S., Bankole, A. O., Negri, R. G., ...Moruzzi, R. (2022). Fuzzy Artificial Intelligence – Based Model Proposal to Forecast Student Performance and Retention Risk in Engineering Education: An Alternative for Handling with Small Data. *Sustainability*, 14(21), Article 14071. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114071>

- Bressane, A., Zwirn, D., Essiptchouk, A., Saraiva, A. C. V., Carvalho, F. L. d. C., Formiga, J. K. S., Medeiros, L. C. d. C., & Negri, R. G. (2024). Understanding the role of study strategies and learning disabilities on student academic performance to enhance educational approaches: A proposal using artificial intelligence. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, Article 100196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100196>
- Bulathwela, S., Pérez-Ortiz, M., Holloway, C., & Shawe-Taylor, J. (2021, December 4). Could AI Democratise Education? Socio-Technical Imaginaries of an EdTech Revolution. In *35th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/2112.02034v1>
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- Campbell, L. O., & Cox, T. D. (2024). Facilitating the Research Writing Process with Generative Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 24(2), 104–109. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v24i2.36580>
- Capraro, V., Lentsch, A., Acemoglu, D., Akgun, S., Akhmedova, A., Bilancini, E., ... Viale, R. (2024). The impact of generative artificial intelligence on socioeconomic inequalities and policy making. *PNAS Nexus*, 3(6), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae191>
- Castelló-Sirvent, F., Roger-Monzó, V., & Gouveia-Rodrigues, R. (2024). Quo Vadis, University? A Roadmap for AI and Ethics in Higher Education. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 22(6), 35–51. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.22.6.3267>
- Cave, S., & Dihal, K. (2023). *Imagining AI: How the world sees intelligent machines*. Oxford University Press.
- Chalkiadakis, A., Seremetaki, A., Kanellou, A., Kallishi, M., Morfopoulou, A., Moraitaki, M., & Mastrokoulou, S. (2024). Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Virtual Reality on Educational Inclusion: A Systematic Review of Technologies Supporting Students with Disabilities. *Education Sciences*, 14(11), Article 1223. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111223>
- Chaudhry, M. A., & Kazim, E. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd): A high-level academic and industry note 2021. *AI and Ethics*, 2(1), 157–165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00074-z>
- Chen, L., Zheng, W., Yang, B., & Bai, S. (2016). Transformational leadership, social capital and organizational innovation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 37(7), 843–859. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-07-2015-0157>
- Chu, C. H., Nyrup, R., Leslie, K., Shi, J., Bianchi, A., Lyn, A., ... Grenier, A. (2022). Digital Ageism: Challenges and Opportunities in Artificial Intelligence for Older Adults. *The Gerontologist*, 62(7), 947–955. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnab167>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: the state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- Dabis, A., & Csáki, C. (2024). AI and ethics: Investigating the first policy responses of higher education institutions to the challenge of generative AI. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03526-z>
- Daft, R. L. (2021). *Organization theory & design* (Thirteenth edition). Cengage.
- Dai, R., Thomas, M. K. E., & Rawolle, S. (2024). The roles of AI and educational leaders in AI-assisted administrative decision-making: a proposed framework for symbiotic collaboration. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-024-00771-8>
- Dalalah, D., & Dalalah, O. M. (2023). The false positives and false negatives of generative AI detection tools in education and academic research: The case of ChatGPT. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 21(2), Article 100822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100822>
- Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthcare Journal*, 6(2), 94–98. <https://doi.org/10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94>
- Dergaa, I., Chamari, K., Zmijewski, P., & Ben Saad, H. (2023). From human writing to artificial intelligence generated text: Examining the prospects and potential threats of ChatGPT in academic writing. *Biology of Sport*, 40(2), 615–622. <https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2023.125623>
- Devkota, N., Paudel, R., Parajuli, S., Paudel, U. R., & Bhandari, U. (2022). Artificial Intelligence Adoption Among Nepalese Industries: Industrial Readiness, Challenges, and Way Forward. In J. R. Saura & F. Debasia (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Artificial Intelligence in Government Practices and Processes* (pp. 210–225). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-9609-8.ch012>
- Diallo, F. P., & Tudose, C. (2024). Optimizing the Scheduling of Teaching Activities in a Faculty. *Applied Sciences*, 14(20), Article 9554. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14209554>
- Díaz, B., & Nussbaum, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence for teaching and learning in schools: The need for pedagogical intelligence. *Computers & Education*, 217, 105071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2024.105071>
- Dimari, A., Tyagi, N., Davanageri, M., Kukreti, R., Yadav, R., & Dimari, H. (2024). AI-Based Automated Grading Systems for open book examination system: Implications for Assessment in Higher Education. In *2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems* (pp. 1–7). Chikkaballapur, India. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKECS61492.2024.10616490>
- Divya, D., Jain, R., Chetty, P., Siwach, V., & Mathur, A. (2024). The mediating effect of leadership in artificial intelligence success for employee-engagement. *Management Decision*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-01-2024-0213>
- Du Boulay, B. (2016). Artificial Intelligence as an Effective Classroom Assistant. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 31(6), 76–81. <https://doi.org/10.1109/mis.2016.93>
- Du, J., & Daniel, B. K. (2024). Transforming language education: A systematic review of AI-powered chatbots for English as a foreign language speaking practice. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, Article 100230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100230>
- Dusza, D. G. (2024). Machine Translation in the Writing Process: Pedagogy, Plagiarism, Policy, and Procedures. In S. E. Eaton (Ed.), *Second handbook of academic integrity* (pp. 1487–1509). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-54144-5_152
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., ... Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper: “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- El Din, M. S., & Al Harrasi, N. H. (2024). AI-Driven Transformation in Higher Education: New Frontiers in Administration and Management. In N. H. Al Harrasi and M. S. El Din (Eds.), *Utilizing AI for Assessment, Grading, and Feedback in Higher Education* (pp. 135–161). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2145-4.ch006>



- Endrejat, P. C., Klonek, F. E., Müller-Frommeyer, L. C., & Kauffeld, S. (2021). Turning change resistance into readiness: How change agents' communication shapes recipient reactions. *European Management Journal*, 39(5), 595–604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2020.11.004>
- Erhan, T., Uzunbacak, H. H., & Aydin, E. (2022). From conventional to digital leadership: Exploring digitalization of leadership and innovative work behavior. *Management Research Review*, 45(11), 1524–1543. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-05-2021-0338>
- Fan, J. (2024). A big data and neural networks driven approach to design students management system. *Soft Computing*, 28(2), 1255–1276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-09524-8>
- Ferrara, E. (2024). Fairness and Bias in Artificial Intelligence: A Brief Survey of Sources, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies. *Sci*, 6(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sci6010003>
- Flitcroft, M. A., Sheriff, S. A., Wolfrath, N., Maddula, R., McConnell, L., Xing, Y., Haines, K. L., Wong, S. L., & Kothari, A. N. (2024). Performance of Artificial Intelligence Content Detectors Using Human and Artificial Intelligence-Generated Scientific Writing. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 31, 6387–6393. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-024-15549-6>
- Fowler, D. S. (2023). AI in Higher Education. *Journal of Ethics in Higher Education*, 3, 127–143. <https://doi.org/10.26034/fr.jehe.2023.4657>
- Fullan, M. (2020). *Leading in a culture of change* (Second edition). Jossey-Bass.
- Fullan, M., Azorin, C., Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence and school leadership: Challenges, opportunities and implications. *School Leadership & Management*, 44(4), 339–346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2023.2246856>
- Funda, V., & Francke, E. (2024). Artificial intelligence-powered decision support system for operational decision-making in the ICT department of a selected African university. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 16(5), 689–701. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2024.2376916>
- Fundi, M., Sanusi, I. T., Oyelere, S. S., & Ayere, M. (2024). Advancing AI education: Assessing Kenyan in-service teachers' preparedness for integrating artificial intelligence in competence-based curriculum. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 14, Article 100412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2024.100412>
- Gandedkar, N. H., Wong, M. T., & Darendeliler, M. A. (2021). Role of virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR) and artificial intelligence (AI) in tertiary education and research of orthodontics: An insight. *Seminars in Orthodontics*, 27(2), 69–77. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sodo.2021.05.003>
- Ge, Z., & Hu, Y. (2020). Innovative Application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the Management of Higher Education and Teaching. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1533(3), Article 32089. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1533/3/032089>
- George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the Strategic Transformation of Higher Education through Artificial Intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(9), 196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13090196>
- Ghimire, A., Edwards, J. (2024). From Guidelines to Governance: A Study of AI Policies in Education. In: Olney, A.M., Chounta, IA., Liu, Z., Santos, O.C., Bittencourt, I.I. (eds) *Artificial Intelligence in Education. Posters and Late Breaking Results, Workshops and Tutorials, Industry and Innovation Tracks, Practitioners, Doctoral Consortium and Blue Sky. AIED 2024. Communications in Computer and Information Science*, vol 2151. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-64312-5_36
- González-Calatayud, V., Prendes-Espinosa, P., & Roig-Vila, R. (2021). Artificial Intelligence for Student Assessment: A Systematic Review. *Applied Sciences*, 11(12), 5467. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11125467>
- Gruenhagen, J. H., Sinclair, P. M., Carroll, J.-A., Baker, P. R., Wilson, A., & Demant, D. (2024). The rapid rise of generative AI and its implications for academic integrity: Students' perceptions and use of chatbots for assistance with assessments. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, Article 100273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100273>
- Grzybowski, A., Pawlikowska-Lągód, K., & Lambert, W. C. (2024). A History of Artificial Intelligence. *Clinics in Dermatology*, 42(3), 221–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinidermatol.2023.12.016>
- Gupta, S., Modgil, S., Bhattacharyya, S., & Bose, I. (2021). Artificial intelligence for decision support systems in the field of operations research: Review and future scope of research. *Annals of Operations Research*, 308(1-2), 215–274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03856-6>
- Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, 3, 275–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004>
- Halkiopoulos, C., & Gkintoni, E. (2024). Leveraging AI in E-Learning: Personalized Learning and Adaptive Assessment through Cognitive Neuropsychology – A Systematic Analysis. *Electronics*, 13(18), 3762. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183762>
- He, S., Yang, F., Zuo, J.-P., & Lin, Z.-M. (2023). Chatgpt for scientific paper writing-promises and perils. *The Innovation*, 4(6), Article 100524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2023.100524>
- Holden, O. L., Norris, M. E., & Kuhlmeier, V. A. (2021). Academic Integrity in Online Assessment: A Research Review. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, Article 639814. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2021.639814>
- Holmes, W., & Miao, F. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. UNESCO Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.54675/EWZM9535>
- Hooda, M., Rana, C., Dahiya, O., Rizwan, A., & Hossain, M. S. (2022). Artificial Intelligence for Assessment and Feedback to Enhance Student Success in Higher Education. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022, Article 5215722. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5215722>
- Hu, H., Gu, Q., & Chen, J. (2013). How and when does transformational leadership affect organizational creativity and innovation? *Nankai Business Review International*, 4(2), 147–166. <https://doi.org/10.1108/20408741311323344>
- Ibrahim, K. (2023). Using AI-based detectors to control AI-assisted plagiarism in ESL writing: “The Terminator Versus the Machines”. *Language Testing in Asia*, 13(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-023-00260-2>
- İçen, M. (2022). The future of education utilizing artificial intelligence in Turkey. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01284-4>
- Igbokwe, I. C. (2023). Application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Educational Management. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.13.03.2023.p13536>
- Jia, J., & He, Y. (2022). The design, implementation and pilot application of an intelligent online proctoring system for online exams. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, 19(1), 112–120. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-12-2020-0246>

- Jin, Y., Yan, L., Echeverria, V., Gašević, D., & Martinez-Maldonado, R. (2024). Generative AI in Higher Education: A Global Perspective of Institutional Adoption Policies and Guidelines. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.11800>
- Joshi, S., Rambola, R. K., & Churi, P. (2021). Evaluating Artificial Intelligence in Education for Next Generation. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1714(1), 12039. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1714/1/012039>
- Kabanda, M. (2021). Globalization and Curriculum in the 21st Century: A Case for Flexible and Dynamic Curriculum. *Asian Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 4(3), 18–29. <https://doi.org/10.34256/ajir2132>
- Kabanda, M. (2024). Information Security Awareness in Sub-Saharan African Schools: The Role of Educational Leadership in Turbulent Times. In M. Mohiuddin, E. Hosseini, M. J. Ali, & M. O. Gani (Eds.), *Leadership Studies in the Turbulent Business Eco-System* (pp. 1–24). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.114332>
- Kamalov, F., Santandreu Calonge, D., & Gurrub, I. (2023). New Era of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Towards a Sustainable Multifaceted Revolution. *Sustainability*, 15(16), 12451. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612451>
- Karakose, T., & Tülübas, T. (2024). School Leadership and Management in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (AI): Recent Developments and Future Prospects. *Educational Process: International Journal*, 13(1), 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2024.131.1>
- Katsamakas, E., Pavlov, O. V., & Saklad, R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and the Transformation of Higher Education Institutions: A Systems Approach. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 6118. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16146118>
- Khalifa, M., & Albadawy, M. (2024). Using artificial intelligence in academic writing and research: An essential productivity tool. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update*, 5, 100145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2024.100145>
- Khalil, M., & Er, E. (2023). Will ChatGPT Get You Caught? Rethinking of Plagiarism Detection. In P. Zaphiris & A. Ioannou (Eds.), *Learning and Collaboration Technologies. HCI 2023. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 14040* (pp. 475–487). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34411-4_32
- Khan, I., Ahmad, A. R., Jabeur, N., & Mahdi, M. N. (2021). An artificial intelligence approach to monitor student performance and devise preventive measures. *Smart Learning Environments*, 8, Article 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-021-00161-y>
- Khan, M. S., Umer, H., & Faruq, F. (2024). Artificial intelligence for low income countries. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, Article 1422. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03947-w>
- Köbis, L., & Mehner, C. (2021). Ethical Questions Raised by AI-Supported Mentoring in Higher Education. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2021.624050>
- Kujur, A. G. P., Tiwari, R. K., & Panday, V. (2023). Student Performance Monitoring System Using Artificial Intelligence Models. In R. K. Tiwari & G. Sahoo (Eds.), *Recent Trends in Artificial Intelligence and IoT. ICACII 2023. Communications in Computer and Information Science*, vol 1822. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-37303-9_1
- Lai, F.-Y., Tang, H.-C., Lu, S.-C., Lee, Y.-C., & Lin, C.-C. (2020). Transformational Leadership and Job Performance: The Mediating Role of Work Engagement. *SAGE Open*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019899085>
- Laupichler, M. C., Aster, A., Meyerheim, M., Raupach, T., & Mergen, M. (2024). Medical students' AI literacy and attitudes towards AI: A cross-sectional two-center study using pre-validated assessment instruments. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1), Article 401. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05400-7>
- Lee, A. V. Y. (2023). Supporting students' generation of feedback in large-scale online course with artificial intelligence-enabled evaluation. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 77, Article 101250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2023.101250>
- Lee, K., & Fanguy, M. (2022). Online exam proctoring technologies: Educational innovation or deterioration? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(3), 475–490. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13182>
- Li, C., Ashraf, S. F., Amin, S., & Safdar, M. N. (2023). Consequence of Resistance to Change on AI Readiness: Mediating–Moderating Role of Task-oriented Leadership and High-Performance Work System in the Hospitality Sector. *SAGE Open*, 13(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231217731>
- Lomba-Portela, L., Domínguez-Lloria, S., & Pino-Juste, M. R. (2022). Resistances to Educational Change: Teachers' Perceptions. *Education Sciences*, 12(5), 359. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12050359>
- Long, J. (2022). A Grammatical Error Correction Model for English Essay Words in Colleges Using Natural Language Processing. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2022, Article 1881369. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1881369>
- Luck, M., & Aylett, R. (2000). Applying artificial intelligence to virtual reality: Intelligent virtual environments. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 14(1), 3–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/088395100117142>
- Luckin, R., Cukurova, M., Kent, C., & Du Boulay, B. (2022). Empowering educators to be AI-ready. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100076. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100076>
- Lythreathis, S., Singh, S. K., & El-Kassar, A.-N. (2022). The digital divide: A review and future research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, 121359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121359>
- Maghsudi, S., Lan, A., Xu, J., & van der Schaar, M. (2021). Personalized Education in the Artificial Intelligence Era: What to Expect Next. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 38(3), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1109/msp.2021.3055032>
- Mannuru, N. R., Shahriar, S., Teel, Z. A., Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Tijani, S., ... Vaidya, P. (2023). Artificial intelligence in developing countries: The impact of generative artificial intelligence (AI) technologies for development. *Information Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02666669231200628>
- Mantas, C., Malik, S., & Karapetsas, V. (2024). The Integration and Development of AI (Artificial Intelligence) in Higher Education (HE): Challenges, Innovations, and Recommendations for the Academics. In M. D. Lytras, A. Alkhaldi, S. Malik, A. C. Serban, and T. Aldosemani (Eds.), *The Evolution of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education* (pp. 147–160). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-486-820241009>
- Mohammed, P. S., & Nell' Watson, E. (2019). Towards Inclusive Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Perspectives, Challenges, and Opportunities. In J. Knox, Y. Wang, & M. Gallagher (Eds.), *Perspectives on Rethinking and Reforming Education. Artificial Intelligence and Inclusive Education* (pp. 17–37). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8161-4_2
- Molina-Carmona, R., & García-Peñalvo, F. J. (2025). Safeguarding Knowledge: Ethical Artificial Intelligence Governance in the University Digital Transformation. In E. V. Vidal, U. R. Cukierman, & M. E. Auer (Eds.), *Advanced Technologies and the University of the Future* (pp. 201–220). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71530-3_14

- Moya, S., & Camacho, M. (2024). Leveraging AI-powered mobile learning: A pedagogically informed framework. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, Article 100276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100276>
- Mujtaba, B. (2024). Clarifying Ethical Dilemmas in Sharpening Students' Artificial Intelligence Proficiency: Dispelling Myths About Using AI Tools in Higher Education. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 8(2), 107–127. [https://doi.org/10.61093/bel.8\(2\).107-127.2024](https://doi.org/10.61093/bel.8(2).107-127.2024)
- Mumtaz, S., Carmichael, J., Weiss, M., & Nimon-Peters, A. (2024). Ethical use of artificial intelligence based tools in higher education: are future business leaders ready? *Education and Information Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13099-8>
- Newton, P. M., & Essex, K. (2024). How Common is Cheating in Online Exams and did it Increase During the COVID-19 Pan-demic? A Systematic Review. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 22(2), 323–343. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-023-09485-5>
- Nicola-Richmond, K., Dawson, P., & Partridge, H. (2024). Online proctored exams: rhetoric vs reality. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 43(2), 392–405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2023.2234310>
- Nigam, A., Pasricha, R., Singh, T., & Churi, P. (2021). A Systematic Review on AI-based Proctoring Systems: Past, Present and Future. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(5), 6421–6445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10597-x>
- Norori, N., Hu, Q., Aellen, F. M., Faraci, F. D., & Tzovara, A. (2021). Addressing bias in big data and AI for health care: A call for open science. *Patterns*, 2(10), Article 100347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100347>
- Nyholm, S., & R  ther, M. (2023). Meaning in Life in AI Ethics—Some Trends and Perspectives. *Philosophy & Technology*, 36, Article 20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-023-00620-z>
- Ojha, S., Narendra, A., Mohapatra, S., & Misra, I. (2023). From Robots to Books: An Introduction to Smart Applications of AI in Education (AIED). *ArXiv:2301.10026*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.10026>
- Oliveira, A. L., & Figueiredo, M. A. T. (2024). Artificial Intelligence: Historical Context and State of the Art. In H. S. Antunes (Ed.), *Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence and the Law. Law, Governance and Technology Series*, vol 58. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41264-6_1
- Oliveira, J., Murphy, T., Vaughn, G., Elfahim, S., & Carpenter, R. E. (2024). Exploring the Adoption Phenomenon of Artificial Intelligence by Doctoral Students Within Doctoral Education. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*, 36(4), 248–262. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19394225241287032>
- Omol, E. J. (2024). Organizational digital transformation: From evolution to future trends. *Digital Transformation and Society*, 3(3), 240–256. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DTS-08-2023-0061>
- Owoc, M. L., Sawicka, A., & Weichbroth, P. (2021). Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Education: Benefits, Challenges and Strategies of Implementation. In M. L. Owoc, M. Pondel, M. (eds), *Artificial Intelligence for Knowledge Management. AI4KM 2019. IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology*, vol 599. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85001-2_4
- Patel, S., & Ragolane, M. (2024). The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in South African Higher Education Institutions: Opportunities and Challenges. *Technium Education and Humanities*, 9, 51–65. <https://doi.org/10.47577/teh.v9i.11452>
- Pazhayattil, A. B., & Konyu-Fogel, G. (2023). An empirical study to accelerate machine learning and artificial intelligence adoption in pharmaceutical manufacturing organizations. *Journal of Generic Medicines*, 19(2), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411343221151109>
- Perkins, M. (2023). Academic Integrity considerations of AI Large Language Models in the post-pandemic era: ChatGPT and beyond. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.02.07>
- Peter, P. M. G., & Placido, D. M. (2023). The Effects of a Transformational Leadership Style and Technological Innovation on Crisis Management. *International Journal of Arts and Humanities Studies*, 3(1), 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.32996/Ijahs.2023.3.1.5>
- Pividori, M., & Greene, C. S. (2024). A publishing infrastructure for Artificial Intelligence (AI)-assisted academic authoring. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 31(9), 2103–2113. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocae139>
- Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12, Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8>
- Qiao, G., Li, Y., & Hong, A. (2024). The Strategic Role of Digital Transformation: Leveraging Digital Leadership to Enhance Employee Performance and Organizational Commitment in the Digital Era. *Systems*, 12(11), Article 457. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12110457>
- Quy, V. K., Thanh, B. T., Chehri, A., Linh, D. M., & Tuan, D. A. (2023). Ai and Digital Transformation in Higher Education: Vision and Approach of a Specific University in Vietnam. *Sustainability*, 15(14), Article 11093. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411093>
- Rad, H. S., Alipour, R., & Jafarpour, A. (2023). Using artificial intelligence to foster students' writing feedback literacy, engagement, and outcome: A case of Wordtune application. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 32(9), 5020–5040. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2208170>
- Radanliev, P. (2024). Artificial intelligence: Reflecting on the past and looking towards the next paradigm shift. *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0952813X.2024.2323042>
- Rakap, S. (2024). Chatting with GPT: Enhancing Individualized Education Program Goal Development for Novice Special Education Teachers. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 39(3), 339–348. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01626434231211295>
- Rapaka, A., Dharmadhikari, S. C., Kasat, K., Mohan, C. R., Chouhan, K., & Gupta, M. (2025). Revolutionizing learning – A journey into educational games with immersive and AI technologies. *Entertainment Computing*, 52, Article 100809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ent-com.2024.100809>
- Rashid, A. B., & Kausik, M. A. K. (2024). AI revolutionizing industries worldwide: A comprehensive overview of its diverse applications. *Hybrid Advances*, 7, Article 100277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hybadv.2024.100277>
- Rasmussen, C. H., Smith, M. K., Ito, K., Sundararajan, V., Magnusson, M. O., Jonsson, E. N., ...Nicholas, T. (2018). Pharmtx: A LaTeX-Based Open-Source Platform for Automated Reporting Workflow. *The AAPS Journal*, 20(3), 52. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-018-0202-0>
- Rehman, N., Mahmood, A., Ibtasam, M., Murtaza, S. A., Iqbal, N., & Moln  r, E. (2021). The Psychology of Resistance to Change: The Antidotal Effect of Organizational Justice, Support and Leader-Member Exchange. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.678952>
- Roche, C., Wall, P. J., & Lewis, D. (2022). Ethics and diversity in artificial intelligence policies, strategies and initiatives. *AI and Ethics*, 3, 1095–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00218-9>

- Sagnières, B. (2022). *Leadership Competencies in the Presence of Artificial Intelligence*. HEC Montréal, Canada. https://biblos.hec.ca/biblio/memoires/sagnieres_benedicte_m2022.pdf
- Sajja, R., Sermet, Y., Cikmaz, M., Cwiertny, D., & Demir, I. (2024). Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Intelligent Assistant for Personalized and Adaptive Learning in Higher Education. *Information*, 15(10), Article 596. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info15100596>
- Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Xiao, K., & Hu, X. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Learning Analytics in Teacher Education: A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, 12(8), 569. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12080569>
- Salloum, S. A. (2024). AI Perils in Education: Exploring Ethical Concerns. In A. Al-Marzouqi, S. A. Salloum, M. Al-Saidat, A. Aburayya, & B. Gupta (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom. Studies in Big Data*, vol 144 (pp. 669–675). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52280-2_43
- Salvagno, M., Taccone, F. S., & Gerli, A. G. (2023). Can artificial intelligence help for scientific writing? *Critical Care*, 27(1), Article 75. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04380-2>
- Saqib, M. B., & Zia, S. (2024). Evaluation of AI content generation tools for verification of academic integrity in higher education. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-10-2023-0470>
- Sarshartehrani, F., Mohammadrezaei, E., Behravan, M., & Gracanin, D. (2024). Enhancing E-Learning Experience Through Embodied AI Tutors in Immersive Virtual Environments: A Multifaceted Approach for Personalized Educational Adaptation. In R. A. Sottilare, J. Schwarz, J. (eds), *Adaptive Instructional Systems. HCII 2024. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 14727 (pp. 272–287). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-60609-0_20
- Schiama, G., Santarsiero, F., Carlucci, D., & Jarrar, Y. (2024). Transformative leadership competencies for organizational digital transformation. *Business Horizons*, 67(4), 425–437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2024.04.004>
- Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is technology good for education?* Wiley.
- Seo, K., Tang, J., Roll, I., Fels, S., & Yoon, D. (2021). The impact of artificial intelligence on learner-instructor interaction in online learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), Article 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00292-9>
- Serdyukov, P. (2017). Innovation in education: what works, what doesn't, and what to do about it? *Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning*, 10(1), 4–33. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIT-10-2016-0007>
- Shahrul, A. I., & Mohamed, A. M. F. S. (2024). A Comparative Evaluation of Statistical Product and Service Solutions (SPSS) and ChatGPT-4 in Statistical Analyses. *Cureus*, 16(10), Article e72581. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.72581>
- Shannaq, B., & Al-Zeidi, A. (2024). Intelligent Information System: Leveraging AI and Machine Learning for University Course Registration and Academic Performance Enhancement in Educational Systems. In A. Hamdan (Ed.), *Achieving Sustainable Business Through AI, Technology Education and Computer Science. Studies in Big Data*, vol 159 (pp. 51–65). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-71213-5_5
- Sheikh, H., Prins, C., & Schrijvers, E. (2023). Artificial Intelligence: Definition and Background. In H. Sheikh, C. Prins, & E. Schrijvers (Eds.), *Mission AI. Research for Policy* (pp. 15–41). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21448-6_2
- Sheninger, E. C. (2019). *Digital leadership: Changing paradigms for changing times* (Second Edition). Corwin ICLE.
- Shiao, Y.-T., Chen, C.-H., Wu, K.-F., Chen, B.-L., Chou, Y.-H., & Wu, T.-N. (2023). Reducing dropout rate through a deep learning model for sustainable education: Long-term tracking of learning outcomes of an undergraduate cohort from 2018 to 2021. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10, Article 55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00274-6>
- Shiohira, K. (2021). *Understanding the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Skills Development*. UNESCO-UNEVOC. https://unevoc.unesco.org/pub/understanding_the_impact_of_ai_on_skills_development.pdf
- Singh, H., Kaur, B., Sharma, A., & Singh, A. (2024). Framework for suggesting corrective actions to help students intended at risk of low performance based on experimental study of college students using explainable machine learning model. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29, 7997–8034. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12072-1>
- Singh, S. (2023). Leadership Challenges and Strategies in the Era of AI Transformation. In *2023 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 119–124). Las Vegas, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSCI62032.2023.00025>
- Slim, A., Hush, D., Ojah, T., & Babbitt, T. (2018). Predicting Student Enrollment Based on Student and College Characteristics. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Educational Data Mining* (pp. 383-389). Buffalo: University at Buffalo. https://educationaldatamining.org/files/conferences/EDM2018/papers/EDM2018_paper_136.pdf
- Smith, L., & Riley, D. (2012). School leadership in times of crisis. *School Leadership & Management*, 32(1), 57–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2011.614941>
- Song, C., Shin, S.-Y., & Shin, K.-S. (2024). Implementing the Dynamic Feedback-Driven Learning Optimization Framework: A Machine Learning Approach to Personalize Educational Pathways. *Applied Sciences*, 14(2), Article 916. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14020916>
- Song, N. (2024). Higher education crisis: Academic misconduct with generative AI. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 32(1), Article e12532. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12532>
- Soori, M., Jough, F. K. G., Dastres, R., & Arezoo, B. (2024). AI-Based Decision Support Systems in Industry 4.0, A Review. *Journal of Economy and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ject.2024.08.005>
- Steele, J. L. (2023). To GPT or not GPT? Empowering our students to learn with AI. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 5, 100160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100160>
- Susnjak, T., Ramaswami, G. S., & Mathrani, A. (2022). Learning analytics dashboard: A tool for providing actionable insights to learners. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19, Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00313-7>
- Swiecki, Z., Khosravi, H., Chen, G., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Lodge, J. M., Milligan, S., Selwyn, N., & Gašević, D. (2022). Assessment in the age of artificial intelligence. *Computer and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100075>
- Tagscherer, F., & Carbon, C.-C. (2023). Leadership for successful digitalization: A literature review on companies' internal and external aspects of digitalization. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 2(2), 100039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2023.100039>

- Tai, M.-T. (2020). The impact of artificial intelligence on human society and bioethics. *Tzu Chi Medical Journal*, 32(4), 339–343. https://doi.org/10.4103/tcmj.tcmj_71_20
- Tarisiy, K. S. (2024). Strategic leadership for responsible artificial intelligence adoption in higher education. *CTE Workshop Pro-ceedings*, 11, 4–14. <https://doi.org/10.55056/cte.616>
- Tasnim, M. (2024). Leadership Competencies for the Age of Artificial Intelligence. In S. Hossain (Ed.), *Utilizing AI and Smart Technology to Improve Sustainability in Entrepreneurship* (pp. 20–39). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1842-3.ch002>
- Tiong, L. C. O., Lee, Y., Lim, K. L., & Lee, H. J. (2024). Advancing Online Assessment Integrity: Integrated Misconduct Detection via Internet Protocol Analysis and Behavioral Classification. *IEEE Access*, 12, 106056–106069. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AC-CESS.2024.3434608>
- Toapanta, S. M., Del Pozo Durango, R. H., Díaz, E. Z. G., Trejo, J. A. O., Gallegos, L. E. M., Arellano, M. R. M., Vizuete, M. Z., & Hifóng, M. M. B. (2023). Proposal for a security model applying artificial intelligence for administrative management in a higher education institution. In *2023 International Conference on Computer, Information and Telecommunication Systems* (pp. 1–5). Genoa, Italy. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CITS58301.2023.10188801>
- Tobler, S. (2024). Smart grading: A generative AI-based tool for knowledge-grounded answer evaluation in educational assessments. *MethodsX*, 12, Article 102531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2023.102531>
- Tran, T. T. H. (2023). Ai Tools in Teaching and Learning English Academic Writing Skills. *Proceedings of the AsiaCALL International Conference*, 4, 170–187. <https://doi.org/10.54855/paic.23413>
- Tsamados, A., Aggarwal, N., Cows, J., Morley, J., Roberts, H., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2021). The Ethics of Algorithms: Key Problems and Solutions. In L. Floridi (Ed.), *Philosophical Studies Series. Ethics, Governance, and Policies in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 144. pp. 97–123). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-81907-1_8
- Tuomi, I. (2018). *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Learning, Teaching, and Education*. European Commission: Joint Research Centre. <https://doi.org/10.2760/12297>
- Tyson, M. M., & Sauers, N. J. (2021). School leaders' adoption and implementation of artificial intelligence. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 59(3), 271–285. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2020-0221>
- Tzirides, A. O., Zapata, G., Kastania, N. P., Saini, A. K., Castro, V., Ismael, S. A., ...Kalantzis, M. (2024). Combining human and artificial intelligence for enhanced AI literacy in higher education. *Computers and Education Open*, 6, Article 100184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100184>
- Ulla, M. B., Advincula, M. J. C., Mombay, C. D. S., Mercullo, H. M. A., Nacionales, J. P., & Entino-Señorita, A. D. (2024). How can GenAI foster an inclusive language classroom? A critical language pedagogy perspective from Philippine university teachers. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, Article 100314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100314>
- van Deursen, A. J., & van Dijk, J. A. (2014). The digital divide shifts to differences in usage. *New Media & Society*, 16(3), 507–526. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444813487959>
- Varsik, S., & Vosberg, L. (2024). *The potential impact of Artificial Intelligence on equity and inclusion in education*. OECD Artificial Intelligence Papers, No. 23. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/15df715b-en>
- Vashishth, T. K., Sharma, V., Sharma, K. K., & Kumar, B. (2024). Enhancing Literacy Education in Higher Institutions With AI Opportunities and Challenges. In Z. Ahmed, A. Hassan, & R. Saeed (Eds.), *AI-Enhanced Teaching Methods* (pp. 198–215). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2728-9.ch009>
- Vashishth, T. K., Sharma, V., Sharma, K. K., Kumar, B., Panwar, R., & Chaudhary, S. (2024). AI-Driven Learning Analytics for Personalized Feedback and Assessment in Higher Education. In T. Nguyen & N. Vo (Eds.), *Using Traditional Design Methods to Enhance AI-Driven Decision Making* (pp. 206–230). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0639-0.ch009>
- Verma, P., Malhotra, N., Suri, R., & Kumar, R. (2024). Automated smart artificial intelligence-based proctoring system using deep learning. *Soft Computing*, 28, 3479–3489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-023-08696-7>
- Villman, T., & Kaivo-oja, J. (2024). Generative AI for Visionary Leadership - Desirability and Feasibility Assessments from an Expert Survey. In C. Stephanidis, M. Antona, S. Ntoa, & G. Salvendy (Eds.), *HCI International 2024 Posters. HCII 2024. Communications in Computer and Information Science*, vol 2118 (pp. 79–91). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-61963-2_9
- Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., Balaam, M., Dignum, V., Domisch, S., ...Fuso Nerini, F. (2020). The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Communications*, 11, Article 233. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y>
- Volokyta, A., & Lipska, V. (2023, March). Method of Automatic Depersonalization of Databases for Application in Machine Learning Problems. In *International Conference on Computer Science, Engineering and Education Applications* (pp. 774–787). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36118-0_68
- Walter, Y. (2024). Embracing the future of Artificial Intelligence in the classroom: The relevance of AI literacy, prompt engineering, and critical thinking in modern education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21, Article 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00448-3>
- Wang, T., Lund, B. D., Marengo, A., Pagano, A., Mannuru, N. R., Teel, Z. A., & Pange, J. (2023). Exploring the Potential Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on International Students in Higher Education: Generative AI, Chatbots, Analytics, and International Student Success. *Applied Sciences*, 13(11), 6716. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13116716>
- Wang, X., Wu, Z., Huang, W., Wei, Y., Huang, Z., Xu, M., & Chen, W. (2023). Vis+ai: Integrating visualization with artificial intelligence for efficient data analysis. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 17, Article 176709. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-023-2691-y>
- Wang, Y. (2021). When artificial intelligence meets educational leaders' data-informed decision-making: A cautionary tale. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69, Article 100872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100872>
- Warrick, D. D. (2023). Revisiting resistance to change and how to manage it: What has been learned and what organizations need to do. *Business Horizons*, 66(4), 433–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2022.09.001>
- Weber-Wulff, D., Anohina-Naumecca, A., Bjelobaba, S., Foltýnek, T., Guerrero-Dib, J., Popoola, O., Šigut, P., & Waddington, L. (2023). Testing of detection tools for AI-generated text. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, 19, Article 26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40979-023-00146-z>



- Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995>
- Xia, P. (2020). Application Scenario of Artificial Intelligence Technology in Higher Education. In J. H. Abawajy, K.-K. R. Choo, R. Islam, Z. Xu, & M. Atiquzzaman (Eds.), *International Conference on Applications and Techniques in Cyber Intelligence ATCI 2019. ATCI 2019. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 1017* (pp. 221–226). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25128-4_29
- Xie, Y., Wu, S., & Chakravarty, S. (2023). AI meets AI: Artificial Intelligence and Academic Integrity – A Survey on Mitigating AI-Assisted Cheating in Computing Education. In *SIGITE'23: Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference on Information Technology Education* (pp. 79–83). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3585059.3611449>
- Xu, Y., Liu, X., Cao, X., Huang, C., Liu, E., Qian, S., ...Zhang, J. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A powerful paradigm for scientific research. *The Innovation*, 2(4), Article 100179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100179>
- Yadav, S. (2024). Artificial Intelligence (AI) Integration in Higher Education: Navigating Opportunities and Ethical Frontiers in Education with Advanced Technologies. In S. Tripathi and J. Rosak-Szyrocka (Eds.), *Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Society* (pp. 43–59). Chapman and Hall/CRC. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781032644509-4>
- Yasin, Y. M., & Al-Hamad, A. (2023). Harnessing AI for enhancing scientific writing in nursing research: Prospects, pitfalls, and solutions. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 46(4), 379–380. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.22326>
- Yekollu, R. K., Bhimraj Ghuge, T., Sunil Biradar, S., Haldikar, S. V., & Farook Mohideen Abdul Kader, O. (2024). AI-Driven Personalized Learning Paths: Enhancing Education Through Adaptive Systems. In R. Asokan, D. P. Ruiz, S. Piramuthu (eds), *Smart Data Intelligence. ICSMDI 2024. Algorithms for Intelligent Systems* (pp. 507–517). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-3191-6_38
- Yılmaz, D., & Kılıçoğlu, G. (2013). Resistance to change and ways of reducing resistance in educational organizations. *European Journal of Research on Education*, 1(1), 14–21.
- Zaidi, S. Y. A., Aslam, M. F., Mahmood, F., Ahmad, B., & Raza, S. B. (2024). How Will Artificial Intelligence (AI) Evolve Organizational Leadership? Understanding the Perspectives of Technopreneurs. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 44(3), 66-83. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22275>
- Zajko, M. (2022). Artificial intelligence, algorithms, and social inequality: Sociological contributions to contemporary debates. *Sociology Compass*, 16(3), Article e12962. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12962>
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., Liu, J.-B., Yuan, J., & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 2021, Article 8812542. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542>
- Zhang, K., & Aslan, A. B. (2021). AI technologies for education: Recent research & future directions. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100025>
- Zhang, Y., Xiong, F., Xie, Y., Fan, X., & Gu, H. (2020). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain on the Accounting Profession. *IEEE Access*, 8, 110461–110477. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3000505>