European Institute
of Knowledge & Innovation

Opinion article

Harnessing AI to Power Constructivist Learning: An Evolution in Educational Methodologies

Steven Grubaugh[1]*, Greg Levitt[2], Donald Deever[3]

1   University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA
2   University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA
3   University of Nevada, Reno, USA
*   Correspondence: *steven.grubaugh@unlv.edu*

Abstract: This article navigates the confluence of the age-old constructivist philosophy of education and modern Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools as a means of reconceptualizing teaching and learning methods. While constructivism champions active learning de-rived from personal experiences and prior knowledge, AI's adaptive capacities seamlessly align with these principles, offering personalized, dynamic, and enriching learning avenues. By leveraging AI platforms such as ChatGPT, BARD, and Microsoft Bing, educators can elevate constructivist pedagogy, fostering enhanced student engagement, self-reflective metacognition, profound conceptual change, and an enriched learning experience. The article further emphasizes the preservation of humanistic values in the integration of AI, ensuring a balanced, ethical, and inclusive educational environment. This exploration sheds light on the transformative potential of inter-twining traditional educational philosophies with technological advancements, paving the way for a more responsive and effective learning paradigm.

Keywords: constructivism, Artificial Intelligence, teaching and learning methods, conceptual change

1. Introduction

"There is nothing so practical as a good theory." Kurt Lewin

In the ever-evolving landscape of education, we find ourselves at a unique inter-section of traditional pedagogical philosophy and cutting-edge technology. Constructivism, an age-old philosophy, emphasizes that knowledge isn't a mere transference of information, but an active construction by learners based on prior understanding and experiences. Enter the era of Artificial Intelligence – a technological marvel capable of personalizing, adapting, and enhancing teaching methods and the learning experience in harmony with constructivist principles. When wielded thoughtfully, artificial intelligence (AI) tools can take constructivist education to new heights, infusing it with deeper engagement, self-awareness, and conceptual clarity, all while safeguarding the quintessential human touch in learning. Dive with us into this profound exploration where pedagogical traditions meet technological innovation, redefining the essence of effective learning for the modern student.

1.1. Constructivist Philosophy

Constructivist philosophy of education emphasizes the active role of learners in building understanding by integrating new information with prior knowledge and firsthand experiences. This learner-centered approach aligns with intelligent tutoring systems and other AI applications that adaptively respond to students' existing mental models to promote deeper learning. Educators, often unknowingly, employ a range of artificial intelligence tools embedded in everyday software, such as Microsoft Word, as used in this article, information retrieval, and numerous other tasks. Teachers can now harness AI tools like ChatGPT, BARD, Microsoft Bing, and others, deliberately integrating them into constructivist pedagogy to bolster student engagement, metacognition, and conceptual change – all while upholding the humanistic values of education.

1.2. Active Learning Applications

Constructivism argues that students derive meaning by interacting with the material, not passively receiving it (Abbott & Ryan, 1999). Similarly, machine learning techniques allow AI systems to construct knowledge from datasets. AI tutors use interactive simulations, personalized feedback loops, and open-ended environments to engage students in active discovery (Roll & Wylie, 2016). For example, an AI science tutor named Betty's Brain guides students to "teach" a virtual agent by constructing concept maps and simulating experiments, supporting hands-on learning-by-doing. Evaluations show Betty's Brain promotes hypothesis refinement, causal reasoning, and responsibility for learning (Blair et al., 2007). Such active learning applications are well-suited for constructivist instruction.

1.3. Adaptive Scaffolding

Central to constructivism is scaffolding tailored to the learner's current abilities, building up gradually to expand understanding (Abbott & Ryan, 1999). Similarly, AI can provide adaptive support through recursive refinement of student models. Intelligent tutoring systems continually estimate mastery based on interactions and dynamically adjust hints, feedback, and complexity (Anderson et al., 1995). For example, the DeepTutor AI system individualizes scaffolding and questioning strategies based on discourse analyses, helping students articulate explanations and misconceptions (Rus et al., 2013). Carefully scaffolded constructivist activities potentiate development within Vygotsky's zone of proximal development.

1.4. Metacognitive Reflection

Constructivism emphasizes metacognitive skills in monitoring and directing one's own learning (Teo & Zhou, 2017). Intelligent metacognitive tools leverage AI to develop these capacities. For instance, teachable agents prompt students to actively externalize their thinking and identify gaps, strengthening metacognitive control (Biswas et al., 2010). Reflective dialogues with AI tutors enable co-regulated learning, with the system modeling productive metacognitive strategies (Roll et al., 2011). Embedding explicit metacognitive scaffolds within AI activities can enhance outcomes and transfer (Davis & Linn, 2000). Integrating intelligent reflection support synergistically furthers constructivism's emphasis on self-regulated meaning making.

1.5. Conceptual Change

Constructivist theory highlights how students' naive theories and misconceptions resist change, requiring interventions targeting core beliefs (Vosniadou, 2013). Similarly, AI knowledge revision techniques overcome entrenched errors through paradigm shifts. For example, AI systems can model students' mental models based on historical data and generate counterexamples purposefully contradicting misconceptions (Li et al., 2011). Constructivist-aligned AI approaches use vicarious conflict, analogy, and explanatory models to prompt conceptual change, as evidenced in im-proved pre-post testing (Leelawong & Biswas, 2008). Such intelligent conceptual change support empowers the deep restructuring of the understanding constructivism promotes.

1.6. Preserving Humanism

Human-centeredness remains central to constructivist philosophy, prioritizing learner agency, dignity, and interpersonal relationships (Matthews, 2003). However, AI brings risks of dehumanization, data exploitation, and lacking ethics. Constructivist AI integration must intentionally design sociotechnical systems upholding humanistic values (Makridakis, 2017). Student data privacy and consent merits emphasis, as do inclusive designs considering diverse needs (Bennett & Foltz, 2019). Rather than autonomous tutors, mixed-initiative AI collaborators may strike an appropriate balance (Roll & Wylie, 2016). Regular ethical reviews, human oversight committees, and stu-dent feedback mechanisms can sustain human-AI partnerships preserving core educational values. The ultimate goal should remain developing the full humanity of learners.

2. Results

As educators, we're continuously at the crossroads of theory and practice. When we dive into the profound words of Kurt Lewin, "There is nothing so practical as a good theory," we uncover a new vista of possibilities for the world of teaching. Here's how the synthesis of theory and evolving AI technological tools might reshape our pedagogical strategies:

- • **Personalized Learning Pathways:** With the union of constructivist principles and AI tools, teaching will no longer be a one-size-fits-all approach. Educators can design personalized learning journeys, tapping into each student's prior knowledge and experiences, making learning more relevant and resonant.
- • **Dynamic Curriculum Adjustments:** AI's adaptive capacities, coupled with the foundational theories of learning, allow real-time adjustments in curriculum. Ed-ucators can swiftly respond to a learner's evolving needs, ensuring that each student remains in their optimal zone of development and engagement.
- • **Metacognitive Growth:** Emphasizing the active construction of knowledge puts metacognition at the forefront. With the aid of AI tools that promote reflection and self-awareness, teaching methods will emphasize helping students to "think about their thinking," nurturing lifelong learners who are self-aware and self-regulating.
- • **Empowering Conceptual Change:** Teaching will focus on uprooting misconceptions and nurturing deep understanding. By leveraging AI that identifies and challenges erroneous beliefs, educators can facilitate conceptual shifts, leading students from naive theories to profound insights.
- • **Upholding Humanistic Values:** Amid the allure of AI and tech-driven pedagogies, the essence of teaching remains human-centric. Future teaching methods will emphasize building connections, fostering empathy, and cultivating a sense of community, ensuring that the heart and soul of education remain intact even in a technologically advanced classroom.

3. Conclusions

Constructivism and AI share common ground in their focus on active knowledge building, adaptive support, metacognitive development, and conceptual change. Thoughtfully designed and ethically vigilant integration of AI into constructivist pedagogy can potentiate more engaging, personalized, and transformative learning aligned with enduring humanistic imperatives. As we shape the future of education, we must leverage technology judiciously to expand minds, empower voices, and enlighten our shared humanity.

References

Abbott, J., & Ryan, T. (1999). Constructing knowledge, reconstructing schooling. *Educational Leadership, 57*(3), 66-69.

Anderson, J. R., Corbett, A. T., Koedinger, K. R., & Pelletier, R. (1995). Cognitive tutors: Lessons learned. *The journal of the learning sciences, 4*(2), 167-207.

Bennett, E. & Foltz, P. W. (2019). The constructivist ethics algorithm: Value sensitive design for ethical mediation between humans and systems. *Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 132*, 110-124.

Biswas, G., Segedy, J. R., & Bunchongchit, K. (2016). From design to implementation to practice a learning by teaching system: Betty's Brain. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26*(1), 350-364.

Blair, K., Schwartz, D. L., Biswas, G., & Leelawong, K. (2007). Pedagogical agents for learning by teaching: Teachable agents. *Educational Technology, 47*(1), 56-61.

Davis, E. A., & Linn, M. C. (2000). Scaffolding students' knowledge integration: Prompts for reflection in KIE. *International Journal of Science Education, 22*(8), 819-837.

Leelawong, K., & Biswas, G. (2008). Designing learning by teaching agents: The Betty's Brain system. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 18*(3), 181-208.

Li, N., Cohen, W. W., & Koedinger, K. R. (2011). Discovering student models with a corpus of explanation. In *Workshops at the Twenty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.* https://educationaldatamining.org/EDM2013/proceedings/paper_55.pdf

Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures, 90*, 46-60.

Matthews, M. R. (2003). Constructivism in science and mathematics education. *International handbook of science education, 1*, 161-192.

Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26*(2), 582-599.

Roll, I., Aleven, V., McLaren, B. M., & Koedinger, K. R. (2011). Improving students' help-seeking skills using metacognitive feedback in an intelligent tutoring system. *Learning and Instruction, 21*(2), 267-280.

Rus, V., D'Mello, S., Hu, X., & Graesser, A. C. (2013). Recent advances in conversational intelligent tutoring systems. *AI magazine, 34*(3), 42-54.

Teo, T. W., & Zhou, M. (2017). The influence of teachers' conceptions of teaching and learning on their technology acceptance. *Interactive Learning Environments, 25*(4), 513-527.

Vosniadou, S. (2013). *International handbook of research on conceptual change.* Routledge.